arXiv:1505.07724v1 [math.AP] 28 May 2015

INHOMOGENEOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLOWS WITH SLOWLY VARYING INITIAL DATA

JEAN-YVES CHEMIN AND PING ZHANG

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to provide a large class of initial data which generates global smooth solution of the 3-D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$. This class of data is based on functions which vary slowly in one direction. The idea is that 2-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system with large data is globally well-posedness and we construct the 3-D approximate solutions by the 2-D solutions with a parameter. One of the key point of this study is the investigation of the time decay properties of the solutions to the 2-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system. We obtained the same optimal decay estimates as the solutions of 2-D homogeneous Navier-Stokes system.

Keywords: Inhomogeneous Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations, Slow Variable, Decay Estimate, Anisotropic Littlewood-Paley Theory
AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D03

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the global well-posedness of 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system with large initial data slowly varying in one space variable. In general, inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system in $\mathbb{R}^d$ reads

$$\text{(INSdD)}\qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + u\cdot\nabla\rho = 0,\\ \rho\partial_t u + \rho u\cdot\nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla\Pi = 0,\\ \operatorname{div} u = 0,\\ (\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0). \end{cases}$$

Here the unknown ρ is a function from $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ into the interval $]0,\infty[$ which represents the density of fluid at time t and point x[1], the unknown $u = (u^1,\cdots,u^d)$ is a time dependent vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which represents the velocity of a particule of fluid located at position x and time t and Π is a function from $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which represents the pressure at point x and time t which ensures the incompressibility of the fluid. The choice of $\mathbb{R}^d$ as a domain is a real simplification because as we shall see later on the pressure is uniquely determined by the divergence free condition on the vector field u (the case of periodic boundary condition i.e. the flat torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ as a domain also works).

Let us notice that in the case when $\rho_0 \equiv 1$, the system (INSdD) turns out to be the homogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system. We have to keep in mind that the system of (INSdD) is more complex than this one.

This system (INSdD) can be used as a model to describe a fluid that is incompressible but has nonconstant density. Basic examples are mixture of incompressible and non reactant flows, flows with complex structure (e.g. blood flow or model of rivers), fluids containing a melted substance, etc.

Date: May 29, 2015.

[1] We do want to avoid vacuum

First of all, this equation satisfies some *a priori* estimates. Let us first study the *a priori* estimate on the density. It is classical to consider the density ρ as a perturbation of the homogeneous density arbitrarily chosen to be equal to 1. Let us introduce the notation

$$\varrho \overset{\text{def}}{=} \rho - 1$$

which will be used all along this text.

This system has three major basic features. First of all, the incompressibility expressed by the fact that the vector field u is divergence free gives

$$\forall p \in [1,\infty]\,,\ \|\varrho(t)\|_{L^p} = \|\varrho_0\|_{L^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} = \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, the second equation of (INSdD), called the momentum equation, implies a control of the total kinetic energy which is formally expressed by

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t,x)|u(t,x)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_0(x)|u_0(x)|^2 dx. \tag{1.2}$$

This third basic feature is the scaling invariance. Indeed, if (ρ, u, Π) is a solution of (INSdD) on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$, then $(\rho, u, \Pi)_\lambda$ defined by

$$(\rho, u, \Pi)_\lambda(t,x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \big(\rho(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \lambda^2 \Pi(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)\big) \tag{1.3}$$

is also a solution of (INSdD) on $[0, \lambda^{-2}T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$. This leads to the notion of critical regularity.

Based on the energy estimate (1.2), J. Simon constructed in [31] global weak solutions of (INSdD) with finite energy (see also the book by P.-L. Lions [24] for the variable viscosity case).

In the case of smooth data with no vacuum, Ladyvzenskaja and Solonnikov first addressed in [23] the question of unique solvability of (INSdD). More precisely, they considered the system (INSdD) in a bounded domain Ω with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for u. Under the assumptions that $u_0 \in W^{2-\frac{2}{p},p}(\Omega)$ $(p > d)$ is divergence free and vanishes on $\partial\Omega$ and that ρ_0 belongs to $C^1(\Omega)$ is bounded away from zero, then they proved in [23]:

- the global well-posedness in dimension $d = 2$;
- the local well-posedness in dimension $d = 3$;
- the global well-posedness if in addition u_0 is small in $W^{2-\frac{2}{p},p}(\Omega)$.

More recently, M. Paicu, Z. Zhang and the second author proved in [27] the following well-posedness result for (INS3D) with small data.

Theorem 1.1. *Let us consider an initial data (ρ_0, u_0) in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let us assume that for some positive constant C_0 ,*

$$C_0^{-1} \leq \rho_0(x) \leq C_0.$$

Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on C_0 such that if $\|u_0\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon_0$, then the system (INS3D) has a unique global solution (ρ, u).

Let us notice that smallness condition in Theorem 1.1 is scaling invariant. Moreover, the fact that in dimension two, the system (INS2D) is globally well-posed is related to the fact that in dimension two, the quantity

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho(t,x)|u(t,x)|^2 dx + \int_0^\infty \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt'$$

is scaling invariant under the scaling transformation (1.3).

In this text, we shall consider slowly varying initial data i.e. a family of initial data of the form

$$(\rho_{0,\varepsilon,\eta}, u_{0,\varepsilon,\eta}) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \bigl(1+\eta[\varsigma_0]_\varepsilon, ([v_0^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, 0)\bigr), \tag{1.4}$$

where ε and η are two positive real parameters, ς_0 is a smooth function, and $v_0^{\rm h}$ is a smooth divergence free 2D vector field which depends on a real parameter z. All along this text, we use the notation, for a function f on $\mathbb{R}^3$,

$$[f]_\varepsilon(x_{\rm h}, x_3) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} f(x_{\rm h}, \varepsilon x_3) \quad \text{with} \quad x=(x_{\rm h},x_3)=(x_1,x_2,x_3).$$

Here we are interested in the size of the initial data. We do not intent to solve (INS3D) for rough initial data but simply we want to exhibit a large class of initial data which are "large" in the sense that they do not satisfies any previous smallness hypothesis that ensures global existence of regular solutions. The main theorem of this text is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let us consider initial profiles ς_0 and $v_0^{\rm h}$ which are functions and vector fields in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\operatorname{div}_{\rm h} v_0^{\rm h}=0$ and such that for any z in $\mathbb{R}$ and any j in $\{1,2\}$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varsigma_0(x_{\rm h},z) v_0^{\rm h}(x_{\rm h},z)\,dx_{\rm h}=0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_j\varsigma_0(x_{\rm h},z) v_0^{\rm h}(x_{\rm h},z)\,dx_{\rm h}=0. \tag{1.5}$$

Then there exists two positive constants η_0 and ε_0 which depend on norms of ς_0 and $v_0^{\rm h}$ such that if $\eta\leq\eta_0$ and $\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$, the initial date defined by (1.4) generates a unique global smooth solution of (INS3D).

Let us make some comments about this theorem. Slowly varying data has been introduced by I. Gallagher and the first author in [8] in the case of homogenenous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, i.e. the case when $\rho\equiv 1$. The above theorem is proved in [8] in this case. The motivation of this work was to provide a large class of examples of initial data which are large (in the homogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes, it means essentially that the $B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$ norm of the initial data defined by

$$\|a\|_{\dot B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac12}\|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{1.6}$$

is large), which is the case here, because $\|[v_0^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}$ has the same size as the profile $v_0^{\rm h}$. The idea of the proof in [8] was to use that homogeneous 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equation with initial data $v_0^{\rm h}(\cdot,z)$ is globally well-posed and then to prove the real solution was close (in some appropriated way) to $[v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon$.

Slowly varying turns out to be a useful tool to study the set $\mathcal{G}$ of initial data in the space $\dot H^{\frac12}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which generates unique global smooth solutions to 3-D homogeneous Navier-Stokes system. Since the work in [22] by I. Gallagher, D. Iftimie and F. Planchon, it is known that this set is open and connected. In [10], I. Gallagher and the two authors used slowing varying initial data to prove that through each point of $\mathcal{G}$ passes an uncountable number of arbitrarily long segments which are included in $\mathcal{G}$.

The study of initial data in the homogeneous case as presented above can be qualified as "well-prepared" using the language of singular perturbation theory. The "ill-prepared" case has been studied by I. Gallagher, M. Paicu and the first author in [9]; they proved that the initial data

$$\Bigl([w_0^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[w_0^3]_\varepsilon\Bigr)$$

generates a unique global smooth solution of the homogenous incompressible Navier-Stokes equation when the profile w is a divergence free vector field and which is small in a Banach space of analytic function with respect to the vertical variable.

Let us see why the result of Theorem 1.2 is in some sense a "ill-prepared" result. In order to explain this, we recall the precise definition of the Besov norms from [5] for instance.

Definition 1.1. *Let us consider a smooth function* φ *on* $\mathbb{R}$, *the support of which is included in* $[3/4, 8/3]$ *such that*

$$\forall \tau > 0\,,\ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \chi(\tau) \overset{def}{=} 1 - \sum_{j\geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) \in \mathcal{D}([0,4/3]).$$

Let us define

$$\Delta_j a = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{a}), \quad \textit{and} \quad S_j a = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{a}).$$

Let (p,r) *be in* $[1,+\infty]^2$ *and* s *in* $\mathbb{R}$. *We define the Besov norm by*

$$\|a\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \overset{def}{=} \big\| \big(2^{js}\|\Delta_j a\|_{L^p}\big)_j \big\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

We remark that in the particular case when $p = r = 2$, the Besov spaces $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ coincides with the classical homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}^s$.

The result of Theorem 1.2 is of "ill-prepared" type because of Inequality (1.8) and that in the case when $(s,p,r) = (-1,\infty,\infty)$, it coincides with Definition (1.6). Yet we do not require any analytic assumption on the initial data.

First of all, let us notice that if a divergence vector field the component of which are integrable is mean free. Thus, Hypothesis (1.5) implies in particular that

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}\,,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \big(1 + \eta\varsigma_0(x_{\rm h}, z)\big) v_0^{\rm h}(x_{\rm h}, z)\, dx_{\rm h} = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Let us notice that the hypothesis about the momentum of $\varsigma_0 v_0^{\rm h}$ ensures in particular that $\varsigma_0 v_0^{\rm h}$ belongs to the anisotropic space $\mathcal{B}_2^{-1,\frac{3}{2}}$ (see forthcoming Definition 2.1). Following observations of the first author and I. Gallagher in [8], it is easy to prove that

$$\|[\varsigma_0]_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \gtrsim \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|[v_0]_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \gtrsim \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{1.8}$$

All the well-posedness results of (INS3D) for small data requires that for p in $]1,6[$

$$\|[v_0]_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} << 1 \quad \text{with or without} \quad \eta\|[\varsigma_0]_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}} << 1.$$

One may check the references [1, 2, 3, 4, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 26, 27, 28] for details.

Let us also mention that M. Paicu and the two authors proved this theorem in [11] in the case when $\eta \leq \varepsilon^\sigma$ with $\sigma > \frac{1}{4}$.

Let us complete this section by the notations of the paper:

For $a \lesssim b$, we mean that there is a uniform constant C, which may be different on different lines, such that $a \leq Cb$. We denote by $(a|b)_{L^2}$ the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ inner product of a and b. For X, X_1 Banach spaces, T a positive real number and q in $[1,+\infty]$, we denote the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X\cap X_1} = \|\cdot\|_X + \|\cdot\|_{X_1}$ and $L^q_T(X)$ for the set of measurable functions on $[0,T]$ with values in X, such that $t \longmapsto \|f(t)\|_X$ belongs to $L^q([0,T])$. We denote

$$L^p_T(L^q_{\rm h}(L^r_{\rm v})) = L^p([0,T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}_{x_{\rm h}}; L^r(\mathbb{R}_z)))$$

with $x_{\rm h} = (x_1, x_2)$, and $\nabla_{\rm h} = (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})$, $\Delta_{\rm h} = \partial^2_{x_1} + \partial^2_{x_2}$. Finally Δ_ε stands for $\Delta_{\rm h} + \varepsilon^2\partial_z^2$, ∇_ε for $(\nabla_{\rm h}, \varepsilon\partial_z)$, and $\|f\|_{X_{\rm h}}$ for the X norm of f in the horizontal variable $x_{\rm h}$.

2. Structure and main ideas of the proof

Because we shall consider seemingly perturbations of the reference density 1, it is natural to set

$$a \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 = -\frac{\varrho}{1+\varrho}$$

so that System (INSdD) translates into

$$\text{(INSdD)} \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t a + u\cdot\nabla a = 0,\\ \partial_t u + u\cdot\nabla u - (1+a)\big(\Delta u - \nabla\Pi\big) = 0,\\ \operatorname{div} u = 0,\\ (a,u)|_{t=0} = (a_0,u_0). \end{cases}$$

Even if our main motivation comes from dimension 3, we shall consider this system in both $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, we use systematically the notation $x=(x_{\rm h},x_3)$ and $x=(x_{\rm h},z)$ in the case when z represents εx_3.

For proving Theorem 1.2, we follow the idea of [8], namely, using the fact that the two dimensional incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system is globally well-posed. We shall construct the approximate solutions of (INS3D) with initial data slowly varying in one space variable in the following way. Let us denote by $(a^{\rm h},v^{\rm h},\Pi^{\rm h})$ the (global) solution of (INS2D) with initial data $\big(a_0(\cdot,z),v_0^{\rm h}(\cdot,z)\big)$, that is

$$\text{(2.1)} \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t a^{\rm h} + v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h} a^{\rm h} = 0,\\ \partial_t v^{\rm h} + v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - (1+a^{\rm h})(\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}\big) = 0,\\ \operatorname{div}_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} = 0,\\ a^{\rm h}|_{t=0} = a_0(x_{\rm h},z), \quad v^{\rm h}|_{t=0} = v_0^{\rm h}(x_{\rm h},z), \end{cases}$$

which can also be equivalently written as

$$\text{(2.2)} \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^{\rm h} + v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h} \rho^{\rm h} = 0,\\ \partial_t(\rho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}) + \operatorname{div}_{\rm h}(\rho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}) - \Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} + \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h} = 0,\\ \operatorname{div}_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} = 0,\\ \rho^{\rm h}|_{t=0} = 1+\eta\varsigma_0(x_{\rm h},z), \quad v^{\rm h}|_{t=0} = v_0^{\rm h}(x_{\rm h},z). \end{cases}$$

where $\rho^{\rm h} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \dfrac{1}{1+a^{\rm h}}$ and $a_0 = -\dfrac{\varsigma_0}{1+\eta\varsigma_0}\eta$. As in [8], we consider $([a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,[v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,[\Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon)$ as the first approximation of the solution to (INS3D) and let us write the solution $(a_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon,\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon)$ as

$$(a_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon,\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon) = \big([a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,([v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,0),[\Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\big) + \varepsilon(b_\varepsilon,\overline{R}_\varepsilon,\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon).$$

It is easy to observe that

$$\partial_t\overline{R}_\varepsilon + [v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\overline{R}_\varepsilon + \overline{R}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla([v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,0) + \varepsilon\overline{R}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\overline{R}_\varepsilon - (1+a_\varepsilon)\big(\Delta\overline{R}_\varepsilon - \nabla\overline{\Pi}_\varepsilon\big) = -\overline{E}_\varepsilon,$$

where the error term $\overline{E}_\varepsilon$ is given by

$$\text{(2.3)} \qquad \begin{aligned} \overline{E}_\varepsilon \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(\big[v_t^{\rm h} + v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - (1+a^{\rm h})(\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h})\big]_\varepsilon,0\Big)\\ - \varepsilon(1+a_\varepsilon)\big([\partial_z^2 v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,0\big) - (1+a_\varepsilon)(0,[\partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon) - b_\varepsilon\big([\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon,0\big). \end{aligned}$$

At this stage, we need to define precisely the norms we shall use to measure the size of all the terms above. As already commented in the introduction, this point is crucial. Let us define the anisotropic Besov norms.

Definition 2.1. *Let us consider two functions φ and χ given by Definition 1.1 and let us define the operators of localization in horizontal and vertical frequencies by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^{\rm h} a &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-k}|\xi_{\rm h}|)\widehat{a}), \qquad & \Delta_\ell^{\rm v} a &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-\ell}|\zeta|)\widehat{a}),\\ S_k^{\rm h} a &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-k}|\xi_{\rm h}|)\widehat{a}), \qquad & S_\ell^{\rm v} a &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-\ell}|\zeta|)\widehat{a}) \end{aligned}$$

Now let us define the norm we are going to use in this text. For p in $[1,\infty]$, and (s,s') in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we define

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,s'}} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \sum_{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} 2^{ks+\ell s'} \|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v} a\|_{L^p}.$$

We shall also use the following norm on force f which involves the action of the heat flow. Let p be in $]3,4[$, and T a positive time, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(T)} &\stackrel{\rm def}{=} \Big\|\int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta} f(t')dt'\Big\|_{X(T)} \quad \textit{with}\\ \|f\|_{X(T)} &\stackrel{\rm def}{=} \|f\|_{L^4_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p})} + \|f\|_{L^2_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})} + \|\partial_3 f\|_{L^{\frac43}_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p})}\\ &\qquad + \|\nabla f\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})} + \|\partial_3^2 f\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac3p,-\delta})} \quad \textit{for}\ \ \delta\in\big]0,1-3/p\big[. \end{aligned}$$

Let us make some comments about this definition. We first address that the norms of $\mathcal{B}_p^{s,s'}$ are homogeneous with respect to the vertical variable. More precisely, we have, for any real number s and any p in $[1,\infty]$,

$$\|[a]_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,s'}} \sim \varepsilon^{s'-\frac1p}\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,s'}}. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac1p}}$ is invariant under the vertical dilation.

We now investigate the relation between L^1 norm in time with value in some anisotropic Besov spaces and the norm $\mathcal{F}_p(T)$. For any p in $[1,\infty]$, and for any (α,β) in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\alpha+\beta=-1+\frac3p,\qquad \alpha\leq -1+\delta+\frac3p \quad\text{and}\quad \beta\leq\frac1p\,,$$

then we have

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(T)} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}\|f\|_{L^1([0,T];\mathcal{B}_p^{\alpha,\beta})}. \tag{2.5}$$

We postpone its proof in the Appendix.

We also use frequently some law of product in particular (see Lemma 2.3 of [11])

$$\|ab\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1+s_1'-\frac2p,s_2+s_2'-\frac1p}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1,s_2}}\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1',s_2'}} \tag{2.6}$$

where the two sums s_1+s_1' and s_2+s_2' are positive and s_1 and s_1' (resp. s_2 and s_2') are less than or equal to $2/p$ (resp. $1/p$).

Now let us analyze the constraints we have for the choice of norms for the different terms in the external force given by (2.3). For those which are purely of the form $[f]_\varepsilon$, there is in fact no choice. Indeed, since no negative power of ε appears, the choice of norm to the space $\mathcal{B}_p^{\sigma,\frac1p}$ is mandatory. This space must be L^1 in time because we want R_ε to be in L^1 in time because of the control of the transport equation. Then the scaling determines the index σ of the horizontal regularity. The space must be

$$L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac2p,\frac1p}).$$

Let us see whether the term $[\partial_z \Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon$ which appears in (2.3) belongs to this space or not. Let us compute this horizontal pressure. Applying the horizontal divergence to the momentum equation of (2.2), we write that

$$-\Delta_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h} = \mathrm{div}_{\rm h}(\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})) + \mathrm{div}_{\rm h}\,\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}(\rho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}).$$

Using the fact that $v^{\rm h}$ is divergence free, we infer that for $\varrho^{\rm h} \overset{\rm def}{=} \rho^{\rm h} - 1$,

$$\begin{gathered}\Pi^{\rm h} = \Pi^{\rm h}_L + \Pi^{\rm h}_Q \quad \text{with} \\ \Pi^{\rm h}_L \overset{\rm def}{=} -\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\,\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}\big(\partial_t(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})\big) \quad\text{and}\quad \Pi^{\rm h}_Q \overset{\rm def}{=} -\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\,\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}\,\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}(\rho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}).\end{gathered} \tag{2.7}$$

It will be possible to prove that the term $[\partial_z \Pi^{\rm h}_Q]_\varepsilon$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})$; this will be a consequence of Sections 3–5. On the other hand, it is not possible to prove that $\partial_z \Pi_L$ belongs to the Besov space $\dot B^0_{2,1}$ horizontally. Indeed, it is equivalent to the fact that a homogeneous Fourier multiplier of order -1 applied to a product belongs to $\dot B^0_{2,1}$ in the horizontal variable. The lowest possible regularity of a product is L^1. But the space L^1 is included in $\dot B^{-1}_{2,\infty}$ in dimension two and even not in the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot H^{-1}$. In order to bypass this difficulty, we introduce a correction term. In order to define it, let us consider the vector field $w_\varepsilon(t,x_{\rm h},z)$ the solution of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\partial_t w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon - \Delta_\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon = -\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^1_\varepsilon, \\ \partial_t w^3_\varepsilon - \Delta_\varepsilon w^3_\varepsilon = -\varepsilon^2\partial_z\Pi^1_\varepsilon + \partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}_L, \\ \mathrm{div} w_\varepsilon = 0 \quad\text{and}\quad w_{\varepsilon|t=0} = 0.\end{array}\right. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us introduce the following Ansatz. We search the solution $(a_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, \widetilde\Pi_\varepsilon)$ of (INS3D) of the form

$$\begin{gathered}(a_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, \widetilde\Pi_\varepsilon) = \big([a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, u_{\varepsilon,\rm app}, \Pi_{\varepsilon,\rm app}\big) + \varepsilon(b_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon) \quad\text{with} \\ \big(u_{\varepsilon,\rm app}, \Pi_{\varepsilon,\rm app}\big) \overset{\rm def}{=} \big(([v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, 0), [\Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\big) + \varepsilon\big((\varepsilon[w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon]_\varepsilon, [w^3_\varepsilon]_\varepsilon), \varepsilon[\Pi^1_\varepsilon]_\varepsilon\big).\end{gathered} \tag{2.9}$$

Then $(R_\varepsilon, \nabla\Pi_\varepsilon)$ solves the system

$$(\text{INS3D})_{\rm e}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\partial_t R_\varepsilon + u_{\varepsilon,\rm app}\cdot\nabla R_\varepsilon + R_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\rm app} + \varepsilon R_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla R_\varepsilon - (1+a_\varepsilon)\big(\Delta R_\varepsilon - \nabla\Pi_\varepsilon\big) = -E_\varepsilon, \\ \mathrm{div}\, R_\varepsilon = 0 \quad\text{and}\quad R_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0.\end{array}\right.$$

where the error term E_ε is given by

$$E_\varepsilon \overset{\rm def}{=} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(\partial_t u_{\varepsilon,\rm app} + u_{\varepsilon,\rm app}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\rm app} - (1+a_\varepsilon)\big(\Delta u_{\varepsilon,\rm app} - \nabla\Pi_{\varepsilon,\rm app}\big)\big). \tag{2.10}$$

Of course the key point then is the estimate of this error term. Let us analyze it. First we write that

$$\begin{gathered}E_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(\big[\partial_t v^{\rm h} + v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla^{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - (1+a^{\rm h})(\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h})\big]_\varepsilon, 0\Big) \\ +\big[v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla(v^{\rm h},0) + \varepsilon^2 w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon)\big]_\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon(1+a_\varepsilon)\big([\partial_z^2 v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, 0\big) - b_\varepsilon\big([\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h} - \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, 0\big) \\ +\big[\partial_t(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) - \Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon\Pi^1_\varepsilon - (0, \partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}_L)\big]_\varepsilon \\ -a_\varepsilon\big[\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) - (0,\partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}) - \varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon\Pi^1_\varepsilon\big]_\varepsilon - \big(0, [\partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}_Q]_\varepsilon\big).\end{gathered}$$

By definition of $(a^{\mathrm{h}}, v^{\mathrm{h}}, \Pi^{\mathrm{h}})$ and $(w_\varepsilon, \Pi^1_\varepsilon)$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E_\varepsilon = \sum_{\ell=1}^{4} E_\varepsilon^\ell \quad \text{with}\\
&E_\varepsilon^1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigl[v^{\mathrm{h}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathrm{h}} (\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3) - (0, \partial_z \Pi_Q^{\mathrm{h}}) + \varepsilon w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla (v^{\mathrm{h}}, 0) + \varepsilon^2 w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla (\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3)\bigr]_\varepsilon,\\
&E_\varepsilon^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\varepsilon(1+a_\varepsilon)\bigl([\partial_z^2 v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon, 0\bigr),\\
&E_\varepsilon^3 \overset{\text{def}}{=} -a_\varepsilon\bigl[\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3) - (0, \partial_z \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}) - \varepsilon \nabla_\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon^1\bigr]_\varepsilon \quad \text{and}\\
&E_\varepsilon^4 \overset{\text{def}}{=} -b_\varepsilon\bigl([\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}} - \nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon, 0\bigr).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

Let us remark that the term v^{h} is ubiquitous in the error term E_ε, even in w_ε because Π_L^{h} depends on this vector field v^{h}. Thus the property of v^{h} are crucial for the understanding of the error term E_ε.

Section 3 is devoted to the systematic study of the time decay of v^{h}. This section is devoted to the 2D case and can be of independent interest. We generalize the decay in time estimates obtained in the case of homogeneous Navier-Stokes equation by M. Wiegner in [32] (see also the works [7], [20], [29], [30] and see [15] for the application of this method to a singular perturbation of the 2D Navier-Stokes system). We remark that to obtain this optimal time decay estimate for v^{h}, we need to use a completely new formulation (see (3.22) below) of the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system.

As it can be observed in the term E_ε^2, we need L^1 in time estimate of term that involves second derivative of v^{h} with respect to the vertical variable z. This is the purpose of Sections 4 and 5. A first consequence of this study is the following proposition, the proof of which will be presented in Section 6.

Proposition 2.1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})} + \|\partial_z v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})} + \|\nabla v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}&\\
+ \|\partial_z v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac34,\frac34})} + \|\partial_z \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})} &\leq \mathcal{C}_0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and in all that follows, we always denote $\mathcal{C}_0$ to be a positive constant which depends norms of the two profiles ς_0 and v_0^{h} of the initial data and which may be changed from line to line.

The estimate obtained in Sections 3, 4 and 5 allow to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(w_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon^1)$ be the solution of the System* (2.8), *then we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})} + \|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}&\\
+ \|\nabla_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})} + \|\nabla_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})} &\leq \mathcal{C}_0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover for any α in $]0,1[$, we have

$$
\bigl\|\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{h}}, w_\varepsilon^3) - \varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon^1\bigr\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\alpha,\frac12})} \leq C_\alpha \mathcal{C}_0.
$$

The proof of this proposition is the purpose of Section 7.

Using law of product (2.6), the above two propositions imply that

$$\|E^1_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0, \tag{2.12}$$

which is the content of Corollary 7.1.

The two terms E^2_ε and E^3_ε are of a different nature. They contain of course terms which are rescaled functions of $(a^{\rm h}, v^{\rm h}, \Pi^{\rm h})$ and w_ε multiplied by the function a_ε. Their control demands estimates on the function a_ε. This requires the following induction hypothesis.

Let p be in $]3,4[$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ be a positive real number which will be chosen large enough later on, we define $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$ as

$$\overline{T}_\varepsilon \overset{\rm def}{=} \sup\bigl\{t < T^\star_\varepsilon \,/\, \|R_\varepsilon\|_{L^4_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p})} + \|\nabla R_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})} \leq \mathcal{R}_0\bigr\} \tag{2.13}$$

where $T^\star_\varepsilon$ denotes is the life span of the regular solution of (INS3D) associated with the initial data $\bigl(1+\eta[\varsigma_0]_\varepsilon, ([v_0^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon, 0)\bigr)$. Under the above induction hypothesis, the regularity of a_ε is controlled thanks to the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ be a family of divergence free vector fields and a_0 a function in L^p with derivatives also in L^p. Let us consider the family $(a_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of the solutions to*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla a_\varepsilon = 0,\\ a_{\varepsilon|t=0} = [a_0]_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Then for any s in $]0,1-1/p[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac1p}} &\lesssim \|a_0\|_{L^p}^{1-s-\frac1p}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^p}^{s+\frac1p}\exp\Bigl(C\int_0^t U_\varepsilon(t')dt'\Bigr) \quad \textit{with}\\ U_\varepsilon(t') &\overset{\rm def}{=} \|\nabla_{\rm h} u^{\rm h}_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty} + \frac1\varepsilon\|\partial_3 u^{\rm h}_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty} + \varepsilon\|\nabla_{\rm h} u^3_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us change the variable by defining

$$\widetilde{a}_\varepsilon(t,x_{\rm h},z) \overset{\rm def}{=} a_\varepsilon\Bigl(t,x_{\rm h},\frac z\varepsilon\Bigr) \quad\text{and}\quad \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon(t,x_{\rm h},z) \overset{\rm def}{=} \biggl(u^{\rm h}_\varepsilon\Bigl(t,x_{\rm h},\frac z\varepsilon\Bigr), \varepsilon u^3_\varepsilon\Bigl(t,x_{\rm h},\frac z\varepsilon\Bigr)\biggr)\cdot$$

The transport equation (2.14) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{a}_\varepsilon + \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla \widetilde{a}_\varepsilon = 0,\\ \widetilde{a}_{|t=0} = a_0. \end{cases}$$

Let us remark that, because u_ε is divergence free, we have $\|\nabla\widetilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \sim U_\varepsilon(t)$. It is well-known that *isotropic* Besov norms with index less than 1 are propagated by the Lipschitz norm of the convection velocity. More precisely (see for instance Theorem 3.14 of [5]), we have for s in $]0,1-1/p[$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{a}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot B^{s+\frac1p}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \|a_0\|_{\dot B^{s+\frac1p}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \exp\Bigl(C\int_0^t \|\nabla\widetilde{u}_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt'\Bigr)\\ &\leq \|a_0\|_{\dot B^{s+\frac1p}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \exp\Bigl(C\int_0^t U_\varepsilon(t')dt'\Bigr). \end{aligned}$$

As we have (see Lemma 4.3 of [14] for instance)

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac1p}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\dot B^{s+\frac1p}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad\text{and}\quad \|a_0\|_{\dot B^{s+\frac1p}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|a_0\|_{L^p}^{1-s-\frac1p}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^p}^{s+\frac1p},$$

the proposition is proved because $\|a(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac1p}} \sim \|\widetilde{a}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac1p}}$. □

Under the induction hypothesis (2.13), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $(a_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, \widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon)$ be the smooth enough solution of (INS3D) on the maximal time interval $[0, T^\star_\varepsilon[$. Then for any s in $]0, 1-1/p[$, a constant C exists such that, for any time t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$ defined by (2.13), we have*

$$\|a_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \eta \exp(C\mathcal{R}_0).$$

Proof. In view of (2.9), we have

$$\int_0^t U_\varepsilon(t')dt' \lesssim \int_0^t \bigl(\|\nabla v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^\infty} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla w_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty}\bigr)dt',$$

which together with Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 ensures that

$$\int_0^t U_\varepsilon(t')dt' \lesssim \mathcal{C}_0 + \mathcal{R}_0.$$

Then applying Proposition 2.3, we conclude the proof of the corollary. □

With Corollary 2.1, we can establish the estimates of the terms E^2_ε and E^3_ε. Indeed for any p in $]3, 4[$, $q \in \bigl]p/(p-2), 2p/(p-1)\bigr[$ and δ in $\bigl]1/q - 1/p, 1 - 3/p\bigl[$, so that $-1+\delta+1/p+2/q, 1+1/q-1/p-\delta \in]0,1[$. Then it follows from (2.4) and Lemma 6.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \|[\partial_z^2 v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})} &\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac{1}{p}} \|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},1-\delta})}\\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac{1}{p}} \|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_q^{-1+\delta+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{2}{q},1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\delta})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the law of product (2.6), gives

$$\varepsilon \|a_\varepsilon[\partial_z^2 v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac{1}{p}} \|a_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_q^{-1+\delta+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{2}{q},1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\delta})}.$$

Therefore, thanks to (6.10) of Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 2.1, we conclude

$$\|E^2_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac{1}{p}} \exp(C\mathcal{R}_0). \tag{2.15}$$

Similarly we deduce from the law of product (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigl\|a_\varepsilon\bigl[\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) - (0, \partial_z \Pi^{\rm h}) - \varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon \Pi^1_\varepsilon\bigr]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} &\leq \|a_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\\
\times \Bigl(\|\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon \Pi^1_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{3}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} &+ \|\partial_z \Pi^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{3}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\Bigr),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with Propositions 2.2 and 2.1, and (6.4) ensures that

$$\|E^3_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \eta \exp(C\mathcal{R}_0). \tag{2.16}$$

The term E^4_ε is much more difficult to be treated. It is indeed here that we encounter the difficulty of our method (which comes from the framework of parabolic system) due to the transport equation. Let us investigate the equation on b_ε which is

$$\partial_t b_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla b_\varepsilon + R_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla [a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon + \varepsilon [w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad b_{\varepsilon|t=0} = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

The control of b_ε is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Under the induction hypothesis (2.13), we can decompose* $b_\varepsilon = \overline{b}_\varepsilon + \widetilde{b}_\varepsilon$ *such that, for any* p *in* $]3,4[$, *there holds, for any* t *less than* $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$,

$$\|\overline{b}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta(1+\mathcal{R}_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \tag{2.18}$$

$$\|\widetilde{b}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(1+\mathcal{R}_0)^2\langle t\rangle. \tag{2.19}$$

Let us notice that the norms of b_ε grows in time. As we need L^1 in time control on the remainder term R_ε, it seems a disaster. In fact, it is compensated by the time decay of $v^{\rm h}$ established in Section 3 to Section 5. The proof of this proposition is the purpose of Section 8. Then we can obtain the following estimate for $E_\varepsilon^4 = E_\varepsilon^{4,1} + E_\varepsilon^{4,2}$,

$$\|E_\varepsilon^{4,1}\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta(1+\mathcal{R}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|E_\varepsilon^{4,2}\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(1+\mathcal{R}_0)^2, \tag{2.20}$$

which is the content of Corollary 8.1.

Now we are in position to solve globally the coupled system $(\text{INS3D})_{\rm e}$ with (2.17). Let us think this system as a perturbation of a semi-linear parabolic system. We first compute $\nabla\Pi_\varepsilon$. The point is that the resolution operator of the elliptic system

$$\operatorname{div}\big((1+a)\nabla\Pi - f\big) = 0$$

can be written as

$$\Delta\Pi + \operatorname{div}(a\nabla\Pi) = \operatorname{div} f$$

and then

$$(\operatorname{Id} - \mathcal{M}_a)\nabla\Pi = \nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div} f \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{M}_a g \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div}(ag) \tag{2.21}$$

It is obvious that if a is a bounded function, the operator $\mathcal{M}_a$ is a bounded linear operator from $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ into itself and that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_a g\|_{L^2} \leq \|a\|_{L^\infty}\|g\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus, if $\|a\|_{L^\infty}$ is less than 1, the operator $\operatorname{Id} - \mathcal{M}_a$ is invertible on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$, and

$$\nabla\Pi = (\operatorname{Id} - \mathcal{M}_a)^{-1}\nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div} f. \tag{2.22}$$

This leads to the following definition of the modified Leray projection operator on divergence free vector field.

Definition 2.2. *Let* a *be a bounded function with the* L^∞ *norm of which is less than* 1. *We can define the modified Leray projection operator on divergence free vector fields associated with* a *(denoted* $\mathbb{P}_a$*) by*

$$\mathbb{P}_a f \overset{\text{def}}{=} f - (1+a)(\operatorname{Id} - \mathcal{M}_a)^{-1}(\nabla\Delta^{-1}\operatorname{div} f).$$

Let us remark that it is a bounded operator on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ and that if the function a is identically equal to 0, then the operator $\mathbb{P}_a$ is the classical Leray projection operator on divergence free vector fields.

Moreover, in the case when the L^∞ norm of a_ε is less than 1, the system $(\text{INS3D})_{\rm e}$ can be equivalently reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t R_\varepsilon - \Delta R_\varepsilon = \mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}\big(a_\varepsilon\Delta R_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}\big(u_{\varepsilon,\rm app}\otimes R_\varepsilon + R_\varepsilon\otimes u_{\varepsilon,\rm app} + \varepsilon R_\varepsilon\otimes R_\varepsilon\big) - E_\varepsilon\big), \\ \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

We shall conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 9 by proving that the solution of the coupled system of Equations (2.17) and (2.23) is global provided that η and ε are small enough.

3. Decay estimates for 2D flows

In this section, we investigate the decay properties of the global regular solution $(\rho, u, \nabla\Pi)$ of two dimensional incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system (INS2D).

In this section, we use the following notations:

$$E_0(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\,,\ E_1(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\,,\ E_2(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^4,$$

$$E_3(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|\nabla\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + E_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + E_2(t)\|\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2,\ E_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} E_i(0),\ i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\mathcal{C}(E_0) \text{ is an increasing function of } E_0,$$

$$a \lesssim b \Longrightarrow a \leq \mathcal{C}(E_0)b.$$

Moreover, in this section, we denote $v_t \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_t v$ and we denote by x a generic point of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let us consider the smooth solution $(\rho, u, \nabla\Pi)$ of (INS2D) associated with the initial data (ρ_0, u_0). In addition we assume that*

$$U_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|\,|u_0(x)|dx < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_0 u_0(x)dx = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{3}{4} \leq \rho_0(x) \leq \frac{5}{4}\cdot \tag{3.1}$$

For any T greater than or equal $T_0(\rho_0, u_0)$ with

$$T_0(\rho_0, u_0) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \max\Bigl\{\frac{U_0}{E_0}, \|\varrho_0\|_{L^2}^2\Bigr\},$$

we have the following decay property for the total kinetic energy

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim E_0\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}. \tag{3.2}$$

For higher order derivatives of u, we get for T large enough,

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim E_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\Pi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|\nabla\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac{5}{2}} \quad \textit{and} \quad \|\nabla^3 u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2\Pi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac{5}{2}}\log\langle t\rangle_T, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim E_1^{\frac{1}{4}}E_3^{\frac{1}{4}}\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}\log^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t\rangle_T. \tag{3.6}$$

Here and in the rest of this section, we always denote

$$\langle\tau\rangle \overset{\text{def}}{=} (e + \tau)\,, \langle t\rangle_T \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle t/T\rangle \quad \textit{and} \quad h_T(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} h(t/T).$$

3.1. **Global energy estimates for the linearized system.** Let $(\rho, u, \nabla\Pi)$ be a global classical solution of (INS2D). We first consider some basic energy estimate for linearized equations of 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system

$$(\text{LINS2D})\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + u\cdot\nabla\rho = 0,\\ \rho\partial_t v + \rho u\cdot\nabla v - \Delta v + \nabla\Pi_v = f + L(t)v,\\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} v = 0,\\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases}$$

- L^2 energy estimate

If the operator L is such that $\|L(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then by multiplying (LINS2D) by the quantity

$$\exp\Bigl(-\int_0^t \|L(t')\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}dt'\Bigr)$$

reduces to the case when $L(t)$ is a non positive operator in the sense that $(L(t)v|v)_{L^2}$ is non positive. Then the operator L can be ignored in the energy estimates. We assume this from now on.

We shall assume that all the vectors fields and functions are smooth in time with value in any Sobolev space.

First of all, let us notice that the energy estimate, obtained by taking into account to the fact that the vector field u is divergence free, writes

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq (f|v)_{L^2}, \tag{3.7}$$

By integration this gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla v(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt' &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t(f(t')|v(t'))_{L^2}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\Big\|\frac{f}{\sqrt{\rho}}(t')\Big\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t')\|_{L^2}dt'.\end{aligned}$$

From this, we deduce that for any non negative t_0 and any t greater than t_0

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2)}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla v(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+2\Big(\int_{t_0}^t\Big\|\frac{f}{\sqrt{\rho}}(t')\Big\|_{L^2}dt'\Big)^2. \tag{3.8}$$

In particular, since $(\rho,u,\nabla\Pi)$ is a classical solution of (INS2D), the above argument leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'=\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2\quad\text{for}\quad 0\leq t_0\leq t, \tag{3.9}$$

which implies in particular that

$$\int_{t_0}^t\|u(t')\|_{L^4}^4\,dt'\lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2)}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2([t_0,t];L^2)}^2\lesssim \|u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^4. \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, the fact that the vector field u is divergence free implies that

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(t,x)=\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_0(x)\quad\text{and}\quad\forall p\in[1,\infty]\,,\ \|\varrho(t)\|_{L^p}=\|\varrho_0\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.11}$$

- The estimates for the first order derivatives

The basic result is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ρ, u, v and f satisfy (LINS2D). Then for any non negative t_0 and t with t greater than or equal to t_0, we have,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_t v(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2 v(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\Pi_v(t')\|_{L^2}^2\Big)dt'\\ &\qquad\leq C\Big(\|\nabla v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\Big)\exp\big(C\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^4\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover some decay on ∇v can be obtained through the following inequalities. If s is a positive real number, we have.

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle t\rangle_T^s\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle_T^s\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_t v(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2 v(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\Pi_v(t')\|_{L^2}^2\Big)dt'\\ &\qquad\leq C\Big(\langle t_0\rangle_T^s\|\nabla v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{s-1}\|\nabla v(t')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt'}{T}+\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle_T^s\|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\Big)\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\exp\big(C\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^4\big),\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$t\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\Big(\|v(t/2)\|_{L^2}^2 + t\int_{t/2}^{t} \|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt'\Big)\exp\big(C\|u(t/2)\|_{L^2}^4\big). \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Multiplying the momentum part of (LINS2D) by v_t and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = -\big(\rho u\cdot\nabla v|\partial_t v\big)_{L^2} + (f|v_t)_{L^2}.$$

As ρ lies between $1/2$ and 2, we get

$$\frac{3}{4}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 4\|(u\cdot\nabla v)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\|f(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

It is important now to make precise the idea in the framework of Stokes problem, one time derivative of v is equivalent to two space derivatives of v. Here, as the equation is nonlinear, the equivalent inequality will be nonlinear one. This is described by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\rho, v, \nabla\Pi_v)$ be a solution of (LINS2D). Then we have, for any p in the interval $]1,\infty[$,*

$$\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla\Pi_v\|_{L^p} \leq C\big(\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^p} + \|u\|_{L^{2p}}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^p}\big).$$

In the case when p equals to 2, we have the opposite inequality

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\big(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2\big).$$

Proof. Observing that

$$(\mathrm{SSE})\quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v + \nabla\Pi_v = f - \rho\partial_t v - \rho u\cdot\nabla v,\\ \operatorname{div} v = 0,\end{cases}$$

we deduce from the classical estimate on Stokes operator that for any p in $]1,\infty[$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla\Pi_v\|_{L^p} &\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^p} + \|\rho v_t\|_{L^p} + \|\rho u\cdot\nabla v\|_{L^p}\big)\\ &\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^p} + \|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^p} + \|u\|_{L^{2p}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2p}}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

By using the 2-D interpolation inequality that

$$\|a\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_p\|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla a\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.16}$$

we get

$$\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla\Pi_v\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p} + C\big(\|f\|_{L^p} + \|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^p} + \|u\|_{L^{2p}}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\big).$$

This proves the first inequality. Because v_t is divergence free, we get, by taking the L^2 scalar product of v_t with (SSE), that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2 &= (f|v_t)_{L^2} + (\Delta v|\partial_t v)_{L^2} - \big(\sqrt{\rho}u\cdot\nabla v\big|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\big)_{L^2}\\ &\leq \Big(\sqrt{2}\big(\|f\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2}\big) + C\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hölder inequalities implies that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\big(\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}\big).$$

This leads to the second inequality and the lemma is proved. □

Continuation of the proof of Lemma 3.1 Applying the above lemma in the case when p equals to 2, we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{C}\big(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\Pi_v\|_{L^2}^2\big)\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^2}^2+\|u\|_{L^4}^4\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\big). \tag{3.17}$$

Gronwall lemma implies that, for any non negative t_0 and t such that t is greater than or equal to t_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{C}\|\nabla^2 v(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{C}\|\nabla\Pi_v(t')\|_{L^2}^2\Big)dt'&\\ \leq C\Big(\|\nabla v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\Big)\exp\Big(C\int_{t_0}^t\|u(t')\|_{L^4}^4dt'\Big)&\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.10) implies (3.12).

Let us prove (3.13). For any positive s, by multiplying $\langle t\rangle_T^s$ to (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\big(\langle t\rangle_T^s\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big)+\langle t\rangle_T^s\big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_t v\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\Pi_v\|_{L^2}^2\big)&\\ \leq C\big(\langle t\rangle_T^s\|f\|_{L^2}^2+\|u\|_{L^4}^4\langle t\rangle_T^s\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{T}\langle t\rangle_T^{s-1}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\big).&\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's Lemma and using (3.10) leads to (3.13).

Similarly from Inequality (3.17), we deduce that for any non-negative t_0 and t such that t is greater than or equal to t_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\big((t-t_0)\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big)&=\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+(t-t_0)\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\lesssim\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+(t-t_0)\|f(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|u(t)\|_{L^4}^4(t-t_0)\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality yields

$$(t-t_0)\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\int_{t_0}^t\big(\|\nabla v(t')\|_{L^2}^2+(t'-t_0)\|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2\big)\,dt'\exp\Big(C\int_{t_0}^t\|u(t')\|_{L^4}^4\,dt'\Big),$$

which together the energy estimate on v, namely Inequality (3.8), ensures

$$\begin{aligned}(t-t_0)\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\bigg(\|v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\Big(\int_{t_0}^t\|f(t')\|_{L^2}dt'\Big)^2+\int_{t_0}^t(t'-t_0)\|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'\bigg)&\\ \times\exp\Big(C\int_{t_0}^t\|u(t')\|_{L^4}^4\,dt'\Big).&\end{aligned}$$

Taking t_0 equal to $t/2$ in the above inequality gives

$$t\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\bigg(\|v(t/2)\|_{L^2}^2+t\int_{t/2}^t\|f(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'\bigg)\exp\Big(C\int_{t/2}^t\|u(t')\|_{L^4}^4\,dt'\Big).$$

This together with (3.10) concludes the proof of (3.14). □

3.2. **Sharp L^2 decay estimates.**

The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $T_0(\rho_0,u_0)$ be given by Theorem 3.1 and $T_1(\rho_0,u_0)\overset{def}{=}\max\{T_0,E_0/E_1\}$. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, there holds* (3.2) *for $T\geq T_0$. And for $T\geq T_1$, we have following decay estimate*

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim E_1\langle t\rangle_T^{-3}. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. It is based on the method introduced by M. Wiegner in [32] in order to study the decay of the energy of the classical Navier-Stokes system in two space dimension (see also [29, 30]). The idea is to use a cut off in the frequency space adapted to time. More precisely, let us consider a positive constant T (which can be understood as a scaling parameter which has the dimension of time), and g any positive real function defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$g^2(\tau) \leq 3\langle\tau\rangle^{-1} \quad \text{with} \quad \langle\tau\rangle \overset{\text{def}}{=} (e+\tau). \tag{3.19}$$

Let us define

$$S_T(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigl\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2,\ \ \sqrt{T}|\xi| \leq \sqrt{2}g_T(t)\bigr\} \quad \text{and} \quad v_\flat(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\bigl(\mathbf{1}_{S_T(t)}\widehat{v}(t)\bigr). \tag{3.20}$$

Here we adapt this method to the inhomogenenous case through the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (ρ, u, v) solve $(LINS2D)$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{T}g_T^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{2}{T}g_T^2(t)\|v_\flat(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(t)|v(t))_{L^2}.$$

Proof. As $v(t)-v_\flat(t)$ and $v_\flat(t)$ are orthogonal in all Sobolev spaces, we get in particular that

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\nabla v_\flat(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(v(t)-v_\flat(t))\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By defintion of $S_T(t)$ and again by the orthogonality between $v(t)-v_\flat(t)$ and $v_\flat(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla(v(t)-v_\flat(t))\|_{L^2}^2 &\geq \frac{2}{T}g_T^2(t)\|v(t)-v_\flat(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\geq \frac{2}{T}g_T^2(t)\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{2}{T}g_T^2(t)\|v_\flat(t)\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

As $\rho(t,x)$ is less than or equal to 2, the energy estimate (3.7) implies the lemma. □

The interest of this lemma is that the term on the left is typically a term that creates decay. Of course, the control of the term $v_\flat$ associated with (very) low frequencies is the term that tends to prevent the decay. It must be estimated in a careful way. Writing a general theory with external force seems too ambitious. We are going to restrict ourselves to two cases: the case when $u=v$ and $f\equiv 0$, namely, the case of solution of (INS2D), and later on the case of a family of solution $v^{\rm h}(\cdot,z)$ of (INS2D) where z is a real parameter and then v represents derivatives of $v^{\rm h}(\cdot,z)$ with respect to the parameter z.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1, we have, for any T greater than or equal to T_0,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\flat(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + CE_0^2\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}&\\ + Cg_T^6(t)\Bigl(\int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}\frac{dt'}{T}\Bigr)^2 &+ Cg_T^4(t)\Bigl(\int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt'}{T}\Bigr)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It relies on the rewriting of the momentum equation of (INS2D) as

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla\Pi = -\partial_t(\varrho u) - \mathrm{div}\bigl(\rho u\otimes u\bigr). \tag{3.21}$$

If $\mathbb{P}$ denotes the Leray projection on divergence free vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^2$, the above relation writes in term of Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{u}(t,\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^2}\widehat{u}_0(\xi) - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi|^2}\partial_t\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho u)(t',\xi)\,dt'&\\ - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{div}(\rho u\otimes u)\bigr)(t',\xi)\,dt'&.\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts in time, we get that

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi|^2}\partial_t\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho u)(t',\xi)dt' = \mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho u)(t,\xi) \\ - e^{-t|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho_0 u_0)(\xi) - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi|^2}|\xi|^2\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho u)(t',\xi)\,dt'.$$

Let us notice that in the integral term, we exchange one time derivative for two space derivatives. This gives the following key formula

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{u}(t,\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}(\rho_0 u_0)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho u)(t,\xi)\\ + \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi|^2}|\xi|^2\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho u)(t',\xi)\,dt' - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{div}(\rho u\otimes u)\big)(t',\xi)\,dt'.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Because $\mathbb{P}$ decreases the modulus of the Fourier transform, we get for any t and ξ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\widehat{u}(t,\xi)|^2 \leq 2e^{-2t|\xi|^2}\big|\mathcal{F}(\rho_0 u_0)(\xi)\big|^2 + 2\big|\mathcal{F}(\varrho u)(t,\xi)\big|^2\\ + 2|\xi|^4\Big(\int_0^t \big|\mathcal{F}\big(\varrho u)\big)(t',\xi)\big|\,dt'\Big)^2 + 2|\xi|^2\Big(\int_0^t \big|\mathcal{F}(\rho u\otimes u)(t',\xi)\big|\,dt'\Big)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

To estimate $\|u_\flat(t)\|_{L^2}$, we have to integrate the above inequality over $S_T(t)$. In order to do it, we make pointwise estimates in the Fourier variable.

First, let us observe that u_0 and thus $\rho_0 u_0$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,|x|dx)$. Because of the fact that $\rho_0 u_0$ is mean free, we infer that

$$|\mathcal{F}(\rho_0 u_0)(\xi)| \leq |\xi|\ \|D_\xi\mathcal{F}(\rho_0 u_0)\|_{L^\infty} \leq |\xi|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_0(x)|u_0(x)|\,|x|\,dx.$$

By integration on S_T, this gives, because T is greater than T_0 and $g^2(\tau)\leq 3\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$,

$$\int_{S_T(t)} e^{-2t|\xi|^2}\big|\mathcal{F}(\rho_0 u_0)(\xi)\big|^2 d\xi \lesssim E_0^2\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}. \tag{3.24}$$

Let us observe that, thanks to (3.11), we get, for T greater than or equal to T_0

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\mathcal{F}(\varrho u)(t',\xi)\big| &\leq \|\varrho(t')\|_{L^2}\|u(t')\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq \|\varrho_0\|_{L^2}\|u(t')\|_{L^2} \leq T_0^{\frac12}\|u(t')\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

From this, we infer that,

$$\int_{S_T(t)}|\xi|^4\Big(\int_0^t\big|\mathcal{F}(\varrho u)(t',\xi)\big|\,dt'\Big)^2 d\xi \lesssim g_T^6(t)\Big(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}\frac{dt'}{T}\Big)^2. \tag{3.25}$$

Along the same lines, we get that

$$\big|\mathcal{F}(\rho u\otimes u)(t',\xi)\big| \lesssim \|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus we get

$$\int_{S_T(t)}|\xi|^2\Big(\int_0^t\big|\mathcal{F}(\rho u\otimes u)(t',\xi)\big|\,dt'\Big)^2 d\xi \lesssim g_T^4(t)\Big(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt'}{T}\Big)^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Because of the hypothesis on ρ_0, we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S_T(t)} 2\big|\mathcal{F}(\varrho u)(t,\xi)\big|^2 d\xi &\leq 4(2\pi)^2\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\leq 4(2\pi)^2\|\varrho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac14(2\pi)^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Together with estimates (3.24)–(3.26), we achieve the proof of the lemma. □

Continuation of the proof of Proposition 3.1. The above lemmas give immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{T}g_T^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2&\lesssim\frac{1}{T}E_0^2\langle t\rangle_T^{-3}\\&+\frac{1}{T}g_T^8(t)\Bigl(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}\frac{dt'}{T}\Bigr)^2+\frac{1}{T}g_T^6(t)\Bigl(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt'}{T}\Bigr)^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

Let us define

$$G(\tau)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\exp\Bigl(\int_0^\tau g^2(\tau')d\tau'\Bigr).\tag{3.28}$$

The above formula writes after integration

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2G_T(t)-E_0&\lesssim E_0^2\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-3}G_T(t')\frac{dt'}{T}\\&+\int_0^t g_T^8(t')G_T(t')\Bigl(\int_0^{t'}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t'')\|_{L^2}\frac{dt''}{T}\Bigr)^2\frac{dt'}{T}\\&+\int_0^t g_T^6(t')G_T(t')\Bigl(\int_0^{t'}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t'')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt''}{T}\Bigr)^2\frac{dt'}{T}\cdot\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

Now we iterate this inequality several times to get the final decay estimates of u given by Proposition 3.1. Let us first choose the function g as

$$g^2(\tau)=3\bigl(\langle\tau\rangle\log\langle\tau\rangle\bigr)^{-1}\quad\text{which gives}\quad G(\tau)=\log^3\langle\tau\rangle.$$

Using that $\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is less than or equal to the initial energy E_0, Inequality (3.29) writes

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\log^3\langle t\rangle_T-E_0&\lesssim E_0(1+E_0)\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-1}\frac{dt'}{T}\\&\lesssim E_0(1+E_0)\log\langle t\rangle_T.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\log^2\langle t\rangle_T\lesssim E_0(1+E_0).\tag{3.30}$$

Now let us plug this estimate into Inequality (3.29) with the choice $g^2(\tau)=\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$, which gives $G(\tau)=\langle\tau\rangle$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\langle t\rangle_T-E_0\lesssim E_0^2\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-2}\frac{dt'}{T}+\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-3}\Bigl(\int_0^{t'}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t'')\|_{L^2}\frac{dt''}{T}\Bigr)^2\frac{dt'}{T}\\+\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-2}\Bigl(\int_0^{t'}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t'')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt''}{T}\Bigr)^2\frac{dt'}{T}\cdot\end{aligned}$$

Let us define $V(t)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\sup_{t'\leq t}\bigl(\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t')\|_{L^2}^2\langle t'\rangle_T\bigr)$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}V(t)-E_0&\lesssim E_0^2\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-2}\frac{dt'}{T}+(1+E_0)^2\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-2}H_T(t')V(t')\frac{dt'}{T}\quad\text{with}\\H(\tau)&\overset{\text{def}}{=}1+\Bigl(\int_0^\tau\langle\tau'\rangle^{-\frac12}\log^{-1}\langle\tau'\rangle d\tau'\Bigr)^2.\end{aligned}$$

As we have that $H(\tau)\leq C\bigl(1+\langle\tau\rangle_T\log^{-2}\langle\tau\rangle_T\bigr)$, the function $\langle t'\rangle_T^{-2}H_T(t')$ is integrable and then Gronwall lemma gives

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\langle t\rangle_T\leq\mathcal{C}(E_0)E_0.$$

Let us plug this estimate into (3.29) and choose $g^2(\tau)=2\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$ which gives $G(\tau)=\langle\tau\rangle^2$. We infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\langle t\rangle_T^2-E_0 &\leq E_0^2\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-1}\frac{dt'}{T}+\mathcal{C}(E_0)E_0\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{-1}\log^2\langle t'\rangle_T\frac{dt'}{T}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}(E_0)E_0\log^3\langle t\rangle_T.\end{aligned}$$

Finally resuming the above estimate into (3.29) once again with the choice $g^2(\tau)=\alpha\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$, for $\alpha\in]2,3[$ gives $G(\tau)=\langle\tau\rangle^\alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\langle t\rangle_T^\alpha-E_0\lesssim E_0^2\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{\alpha-3}\frac{dt'}{T}&+\mathcal{C}(E_0)E_0\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{\alpha-4}\log^5\langle t'\rangle_T\frac{dt'}{T}\\ &+\mathcal{C}(E_0)E_0\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{\alpha-5}\log^6\langle t'\rangle_T\frac{dt'}{T}\,,\end{aligned}$$

which implies the Estimate (3.2).

Let us prove (3.18). Applying (3.14) with $v=u$ and $f\equiv 0$, and Inequality (3.2), we get

$$t\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\|u(t/2)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim E_0\langle t\rangle_T^{-2},$$

which write, in the case when T is greater than T_1,

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\frac{E_0}{T}\frac{T}{t}\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}\lesssim E_1\frac{T}{t}\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}.$$

Moreover, Inequality (3.12) implies that $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2$ which proves (3.18). $\square$

3.3. **Decay estimates for the second and third derivatives of u.** The main idea which seems to be the simplest one at the first glance consists in the differentiation of the momentum equation of (INS2D) with respect to the space variables and then trying to apply result of the previous subsections. Nevertheless for this particular system, this quite natural idea fails. The reason is due to the fact that term of the type $\nabla_x\rho$ will appear in this process. Their control demands a control of the norm which is L^1 in time with value in *Lip* in space for the vector field u. This control cannot be assumed and has to be proved. The main idea to overcome this difficulty consists in differentiating the momentum equation of (INS2D) with respect to the time variable. As shown by Lemma 3.2, this represents the estimate of the second space derivatives of u.

All the results of this subsection relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (ρ,u,v) solve (LINS2D). Then we have, for any positive constant $\mathcal{T}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\frac34\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2\leq(f_t|v_t)_{L^2}+CF_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u(t))\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2+CF_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t),v(t))&\\ +C\|\nabla v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}&.\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u)&\overset{def}{=}\|u\|_{L^4}^4+\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}}\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2\quad\text{and}\\ F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u,v)&\overset{def}{=}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2v\|_{L^2}+C\|u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2+\mathcal{T}\|\nabla^2v\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Before applying and then proving this lemma, let us make some comments about it. First of all, the parameter $\mathcal{T}$ is a scaling parameter the role of which will appear in a while. Inequalities (3.10) and (3.12) implies that, for any positive t,

$$\int_t^\infty F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u(t))dt\leq\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^4+\frac{\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2}{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{C}(E_0).\tag{3.31}$$

In the same spirit, it can easily be infered that, for any positive t,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^\infty F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'),v(t'))dt' \quad\leq\quad & \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty([t,\infty[;L^2)}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2([t,\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty([t,\infty[;L^2)}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2([t,\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ &+C\|u\|_{L^\infty([t,\infty[;L^2)}\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^\infty([t,\infty[;L^2)}\|\nabla^2 u\|^2_{L^2([t,\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^2)}+\mathcal{T}\|\nabla^2 v\|^2_{L^2([t,\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.12) implies that for any positive t,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^\infty F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'),v(t'))dt' \lesssim & \big(\|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_t^\infty \|f(t')\|^2_{L^2}\,dt'\big)\\ &\times\big(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\mathcal{T}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Let us establish now the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(\rho,u,\nabla\Pi)$ be a smooth enough solution of (INS2D), then we have for any positive t_0 and any t greater than or equal to t_0,*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla\Pi(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u_t(t')\|^2_{L^2}dt'\lesssim E_2(t_0).$$

Proof. Let us apply Lemma 3.5 with $u=v$ and $f\equiv 0$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\frac34\|\nabla u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}\leq & CF_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u(t))\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+CF_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t),u(t))\\ &+C\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the convexity inequality, this gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2}\lesssim \widetilde{F}_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u(t))\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t),u(t)).\tag{3.33}$$

with $\widetilde{F}_{1,\mathcal{T}}(w)\overset{\text{def}}{=}F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(w)+\|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2}$. Then Gronwall lemma implies that for any positive t_0 and any t greater than or equal to t_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u_t(t')\|^2_{L^2}dt'\lesssim & \Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_{t_0}^\infty F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'),u(t'))dt'\Big)\\ &\times\exp\Big(\int_{t_0}^\infty \widetilde{F}_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'))dt'\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (3.9), (3.31) and (3.32) applied with $u=v$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u_t(t')\|^2_{L^2}dt'\\ &\quad\lesssim\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}+(1+\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2})\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}(\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}+\mathcal{T})\Big)\\ &\qquad\times\exp\Big(C\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}+\|u(t_0)\|^4_{L^2}+\frac{\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}}{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{C}(E_0)\Big)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\mathcal{T}=\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2}$ ensures

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2}+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u_t(t')\|^2_{L^2}dt'\lesssim E_2(t_0),$$

which together with the first inequality of Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\Pi(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2}+\|u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2}\lesssim E_2^{\frac12}(t_0).$$

This finishes the proof of the corollary. □

Proof of Lemma 3.5 By applying ∂_t to the the momentum part of (LINS2D), we obtain

$$\rho v_t+\rho u\cdot\nabla v_t-\Delta v_t+\nabla\partial_t\Pi_v=\widetilde{f_t}\quad\text{with}\quad \widetilde{f_t}\overset{\text{def}}{=}-\rho_t v_t-\rho_t u\cdot\nabla v-\rho u_t\cdot\nabla v+f_t. \tag{3.34}$$

Now let us observe that as ρ is transported by the flow of u, we have $\rho_t=-\operatorname{div}(\rho u)$. Thus the new external force becomes

$$\widetilde{f_t}=\operatorname{div}(\rho u)v_t+\operatorname{div}(\rho u)u\cdot\nabla v-\rho u_t\cdot\nabla v+f_t.$$

Applying the basic energy estimate (3.7), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2=(\widetilde{f_t}|v_t)_{L^2}.$$

The key point consists in estimating the term $(\widetilde{f_t}|v_t)_{L^2}$. It follows by integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{f_t}|v_t)_{L^2} &= (f_t|v_t)_{L^2}+\sum_{i=1}^{5}\mathcal{E}_i(t)\quad\text{with}\\
\mathcal{E}_1(t) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} -2(\rho u\cdot\nabla v_t|v_t)_{L^2}\\
\mathcal{E}_2(t) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} -\big(\rho(u\cdot\nabla u)\cdot\nabla v\big|v_t\big)_{L^2}\\
\mathcal{E}_3(t) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} -\big(\rho(u\otimes u):\nabla^2 v|\ v_t)_{L^2}\\
\mathcal{E}_4(t) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} -(\rho u\cdot\nabla v|u\cdot\nabla v_t)_{L^2}\quad\text{and}\\
\mathcal{E}_5(t) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} -(\rho u_t\cdot\nabla v|v_t)_{L^2}\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

By using the 2-D interpolation inequality (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{E}_1(t)| &\lesssim\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\|v_t\|_{L^4}\\
&\lesssim\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|u\|_{L^4}\|v_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\epsilon\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2+C_\epsilon\|u\|_{L^4}^4\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.36}$$

Using again 2-D interpolation inequality (3.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{E}_2(t)| &\le \|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}\|v_t\|_{L^4}\\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L^4}\|v_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hölder inequality implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t)\le\epsilon\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2+C_\epsilon\|u\|_{L^4}^4\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2+C_\epsilon\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.37}$$

Using the 2-D interpolation inequality

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\lesssim\|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.38}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{E}_3(t)| &\le\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}\|v_t\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\|v_t\|_{L^2}\lesssim\mathcal{T}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}}\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2\|v_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

Similarly using again 2-D interpolation inequality (3.38) , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{E}_4(t)| &\le\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\\
&\le\epsilon\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2+C_\epsilon\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.40}$$

In order to estimate $\mathcal{E}_5$, we use (3.16) which gives

$$|\mathcal{E}_5(t)| \lesssim \|\nabla v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}.$$

Together with Inequalities (3.36)–(3.40), this yields Lemma 3.5. □

Now let us investigate the decay properties of the second order space derivatives or of one time derivative of u. They are describe by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *For any T greater than or equal to $T_2(\rho_0,u_0) \overset{def}{=} \max\{T_1, E_2/E_1\}$ and under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, Inequality (3.4) holds.*

Proof. We follow the same lines as the proof of Inequality (3.14) with the following computations. Using Relation (3.33), we get that for any positive t_0 and t such that t is greater than or equal to t_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\big((t-t_0)\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big) + (t-t_0)\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \widetilde{F}_{1,\mathcal{T}}(u(t))(t-t_0)\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + (t-t_0)F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t),u(t)).\end{aligned}$$

Gronwall lemma along with (3.9) and (3.31) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(t-t_0)\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \Big(\int_{t_0}^t \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' + \int_{t_0}^t (t'-t_0)F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'),u(t'))dt'\Big) \\ &\qquad\times \exp\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^4 + \frac{\|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2}{\mathcal{T}}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

It follows from (3.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_0}^t (t'-t_0)F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'),u(t'))dt' &\leq t\int_{t_0}^t F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(u(t'),u(t'))dt' \\ &\lesssim t\big(\|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{T}\big)\|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Resuming the above estimates into (3.41) and choosing $\mathcal{T} = \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2$ yields

$$(t-t_0)\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + t\|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^4.$$

Taking t_0 equals to $\frac{t}{2}$ in the above inequality, then Inequality (3.18) of Proposition 3.1 ensures that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim E_1\frac{T}{t}\frac{1}{T}\langle t\rangle_T^{-3} + E_1^2\langle t\rangle_T^{-6}.$$

Using Corollary 3.1, we infer that for $t \geq T_2$

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \big(E_2 + E_1^2 + E_1/T\big)\langle t\rangle_T^{-4} \lesssim E_2\langle t\rangle_T^{-4}. \tag{3.42}$$

While it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\Pi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u_t(t)\|_{L^2} + \|u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which together with Inequalities (3.2), (3.18) and (3.42) leads to Inequality (3.4). The proposition is proved. □

Corollary 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim E_0^{\frac14}E_2^{\frac14}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac32} \quad \text{and} \tag{3.43}$$

$$\int_t^\infty \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt' \lesssim \big(\sqrt{E_2}T\big)^{\frac34}\langle t\rangle_T^{-1} + \big(\sqrt{E_2}T\big)^{\frac14}\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}. \tag{3.44}$$

Moreover, we have the following estimates on the density. For any p *in* $[2,\infty]$, *we have*

$$\|\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^p}, \tag{3.45}$$

$$\|\rho_t(t)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^p} E_0^{\frac14} E_2^{\frac14} \langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac32}, \tag{3.46}$$

$$\|\nabla^2\rho(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^2\rho_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} E_2^{\frac12} T \quad \textit{and} \tag{3.47}$$

$$\|\nabla\rho_t(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \big(E_2^{\frac14}\|\nabla^2\rho_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}(E_2^{\frac34} T + E_1^{\frac12})\big)\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac32}. \tag{3.48}$$

Proof. Inequality (3.43) follows directly from Inequalities (3.2) and (3.4) and from the interpolation inequality (3.38). By using 2D interpolation inequality, we get, by applying Lemma 3.2 with p equal to 4, that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^4}^{\frac12}\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^4}^{\frac12}\\ &\leq C\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^4}^{\frac12}\big(\|u_t\|_{L^4}^{\frac12} + C\|u\|_{L^8}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\|u(t)\|_{L^8} \leq C\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac34}$, we infer that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac14} + C\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac32}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}.$$

Applying Hölder inequality with respectively $\big(\frac18,\frac34,\frac18\big)$ and $\big(\frac34,\frac14\big)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^\infty \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt' &\lesssim \Big(\int_t^\infty \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt'\Big)^{\frac34}\Big(\int_t^\infty \|u(t')\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u(t')\|_{L^2}dt'\Big)^{\frac14}\\ &+ \Big(\int_t^\infty \|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt'\Big)^{\frac18}\Big(\int_t^\infty \|\nabla^2 u(t')\|_{L^2}^{\frac13}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^{\frac13}dt'\Big)^{\frac34}\Big(\int_t^\infty \|\nabla u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt'\Big)^{\frac18},\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.9), (3.2)-(3.4) and Corollary 3.1 ensures (3.44).

Inequality (3.45) comes simply from the density equation after differentiation which is

$$\partial_t\nabla\rho + u\cdot\nabla\nabla\rho = -\nabla u\cdot\nabla\rho. \tag{3.49}$$

Gronwall lemma and (3.44) allows to conclude the L^p estimate for $\nabla\rho$. For the inequality on ρ_t, let us observe that, thanks to Inequalities (3.43) and (3.45), the transport equation implies that,

$$\|\rho_t(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^p} E_0^{\frac14} E_2^{\frac14}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac32}.$$

which is exactly the required inequality. In order to prove Inequality (3.47), let us differentiate twice the transport equation which gives

$$\partial_t\partial_j\partial_k\rho + u\cdot\nabla\partial_j\partial_k\rho = -\partial_k u\cdot\nabla\partial_j\rho - \partial_j u\cdot\nabla\partial_k\rho - \partial_j\partial_k u\cdot\nabla\rho. \tag{3.50}$$

Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_k u(t)\cdot\nabla\partial_j\rho(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\rho(t)\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and}\\ \|\partial_j\partial_k u(t)\cdot\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty},\end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^2\rho(t)\|_{L^2} \leq 2\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\rho(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{3.51}$$

Gronwall lemma along with Proposition 3.2 gives Inequality (3.47). Finally it follows from Inequality (3.49) that

$$\|\nabla\rho_t(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\rho(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Then Inequality (3.48) follows from Inequalities (3.43), (3.47) and (3.18). □

Let us remark that before Inequality (3.45), we never use any regularity property for the density ρ. From now on, we shall do it in order to estimate the third derivatives of the velocity field.

Proposition 3.3. *For any T greater than or equal to $T_3(\rho_0,u_0)\stackrel{\rm def}{=}\max\{T_2,E_2/E_3\}$, we have under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1,*

$$\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_t^\infty\Bigl(\|u_{tt}(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\partial_t\Pi(t')\|_{L^2}^2\Bigr)dt'\lesssim E_3\langle t\rangle_T^{-5}, \tag{3.52}$$

and for any nonnegative t_0 and any $t\geq t_0$,

$$\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{5_-}\Bigl(\|u_{tt}(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\partial_t\Pi(t')\|_{L^2}^2\Bigr)dt'\lesssim(1+T)\bigl(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2\bigr)E_3. \tag{3.53}$$

Here and in all that follows, $\mathfrak{a}_-$ denotes any number strictly less than $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Relation (3.34) applies with $v=u$ and $f\equiv0$ claims exactly that u_t is a solution of (LINS2D) with the external force

$$\widetilde f\stackrel{\rm def}{=}-\rho_tu_t-\rho_tu\cdot\nabla u-\rho u_t\cdot\nabla u.$$

In order to apply Lemma 3.1, we have to estimate $\|\widetilde f(t)\|_{L^2}$. Hölder inequality and interpolation inequality allow to write

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde f\|_{L^2}^2&\leq 2\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty}^2\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2+2\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty}^2\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+4\|u_t\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2\\&\lesssim\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty}^2\|u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty}^2\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde f(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim{}&\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T^{-3}\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\&+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2\langle t\rangle_T^{-6}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+E_2\langle t\rangle_T^{-4}\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.54}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_0}^t\|\widetilde f(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\lesssim{}&\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2^{\frac12}\langle t_0\rangle_T^{-3}\int_{t_0}^t\|u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\\&+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2\langle t_0\rangle_T^{-6}\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\\&+E_2\langle t_0\rangle_T^{-4}\Bigl(\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\Bigr)^{\frac12}\Bigl(\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla u(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\Bigr)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.12) and Corollary 3.1 leads to

$$\int_{t_0}^t\|\widetilde f(t')\|_{L^2}^2dt'\lesssim\bigl(\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2^{\frac12}(E_1+E_2^{\frac12})+E_2^{\frac32}\bigr)\langle t_0\rangle_T^{-6}\lesssim E_3\langle t_0\rangle_T^{-6}. \tag{3.55}$$

Applying again (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^\infty\bigl(\|u_{tt}(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\partial_t\Pi(t')\|_{L^2}^2\bigr)dt'&\\\lesssim\|\nabla u_t(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{t_0}^\infty\|\widetilde f(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt'.&\end{aligned}\tag{3.56}$$

In particular, (3.55) and (3.56) ensure that

$$\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim E_3. \tag{3.57}$$

While it follows from (3.14) and (3.55) that

$$\begin{aligned} t\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|u_t(t/2)\|_{L^2}^2 + t\int_{t/2}^{\infty} \|\widetilde{f}(t')\|_{L^2}^2\,dt' \\ &\lesssim E_2\langle t\rangle_T^{-4} + E_3 t\langle t\rangle_T^{-6}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.57) implies that if T greater than or equal to E_2/E_3

$$\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \max\bigl(E_3, E_2/T\bigr)\langle t\rangle_T^{-5} + E_3\langle t\rangle_T^{-6} \lesssim E_3\langle t\rangle_T^{-5}.$$

Resuming the above estimate and (3.55) into (3.56) gives

$$\int_t^{\infty}\bigl(\|u_{tt}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\partial_t\Pi(t')\|_{L^2}^2\bigr)dt' \lesssim E_3\langle t\rangle_T^{-5}.$$

This proves Inequality (3.52). (3.52) together with (3.54) implies that

$$\|\widetilde{f}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \bigl(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2\bigr)E_3\langle t\rangle_T^{-7}.$$

In order to prove (3.53), we apply (3.13) for any s less than 5 and v equal to u_t to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle t\rangle_T^{5-}\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^{t}\langle t'\rangle_T^{5-}\Bigl(\|u_{tt}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\partial_t\Pi(t')\|_{L^2}^2\Bigr)dt' \\ &\qquad\lesssim \langle t_0\rangle_T^{5-}\|\nabla u_t(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^{t}\langle t'\rangle_T^{5-}\|\widetilde{f}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' + \int_{t_0}^{t}\langle t'\rangle_T^{4-}\|\nabla u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt'}{T}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{t}\langle t'\rangle^{5-}\|\widetilde{f}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' &\lesssim \bigl(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2\bigr)E_3 T \quad\text{and} \\ \int_{t_0}^{t}\langle t'\rangle_T^{4-}\|\nabla u_t(t')\|_{L^2}^2\frac{dt'}{T} &\lesssim E_3\int_{t_0}^{t}\langle t'\rangle_T^{-1+}\frac{dt'}{T} \lesssim E_3 \end{aligned}$$

leads to Estimate (3.53). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Now let us translate the control of $\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}$ in term of control of $\|\nabla^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, for any $T \geq T_3(\rho_0, u_0)$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Pi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \bigl(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2}\bigr)E_3\langle t\rangle_T^{-5}\log^2\langle t\rangle_T \quad \textit{and} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \bigl(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2}\bigr)^{\frac14}E_1^{\frac14}E_3^{\frac14}\langle t\rangle_T^{-2}\log^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T. \tag{3.59}$$

Proof. By differentiation of the momentum equation of (INS2D) with respect to the space variables, we get, by using Leibnitz formula, that

$$\Delta\partial_j u - \partial_j\nabla\Pi = \rho\,\partial_j u_t + \partial_j\rho\,u_t + \partial_j\rho\,u\cdot\nabla u + \rho\,\partial_j u\cdot\nabla u + \rho u\cdot\nabla\partial_j u.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 with $v = \partial_j u$ and $f = \partial_j\rho\,u_t + \partial_j\rho\,u\cdot\nabla u + \rho\,\partial_j u\cdot\nabla u$ gives

$$\|\Delta\partial_j u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_j\nabla\Pi\|_{L^2} \leq C\bigl(\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\bigr).$$

In view of Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\partial_j u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_j\nabla\Pi(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim E_3^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac52} + E_1^{\frac12}E_2^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac92} + \|f(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim E_3^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac52} + \|f(t)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

Let us estimate $\|f(t)\|_{L^2}$. We write that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_j\rho\, u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and}\\ \|\rho\,\partial_j u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_j\rho\, u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2}+\|\rho\,\partial_j u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \big(\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}E_1^{\frac12}E_2^{\frac14}+E_1^{\frac12}E_2^{\frac12}\big)\langle t\rangle_T^{-3}\\ &\lesssim E_3^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T^{-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

The linear term $\partial_j\rho\, u_t$ is more delicate to estimate. Let us write that, using Hölder inequality and interpolation inequality, for any ϵ in $]0,1[$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_j\rho u_t\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}}\|u_t\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}}}\\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}}\|u_t\|_{L^2}^{\epsilon}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{1-\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, and Estimates (3.45), we get that, for any ϵ in $]0,1[$,

$$\|\partial_j\rho\, u_t\|_{L^2}\leq (\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2}^2E_3)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac52}\times\frac{1}{\epsilon}\langle t\rangle_T^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}.$$

By convexity inequality we get that for any ϵ in $]0,1[$,

$$(\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2E_2)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2}^2E_3)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}\leq\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}E_2^{\frac12}+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2}E_3^{\frac12}\leq(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2})E_3^{\frac12}.$$

Thus, for any ϵ in $]0,1[$,

$$\|\partial_j\rho u_t\|_{L^2}\lesssim(1+\|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^2})E_3^{\frac12}\langle t\rangle_T^{-\frac52}\times\frac{1}{\epsilon}\langle t\rangle_T^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}. \tag{3.62}$$

Choosing ϵ equal to $\log^{-1}\langle t\rangle_T$ in (3.62), and then substituting the resulting inequality and Inequality (3.61) into (3.60) leads to (3.58).

Finally (3.59) follows from interpolation inequality (3.38), and (3.18), (3.58). This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.4. □

By summarizing Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, we conclude the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.4. **Decay of solutions to** (2.2)**.** Applying Theorem 3.1 to the System (2.2) leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $(\rho^{\rm h},v^{\rm h},\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h})$ *be the smooth solution of* (2.2)*. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle t\rangle\|v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\langle t\rangle^{\frac32}\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\big)\\ &+\langle t\rangle^2\big(\|v^{\rm h}_t(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big)\\ &+\langle t\rangle^{\frac52}\log^{-1}\langle t\rangle\big(\|\nabla^3_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big)\\ &+\langle t\rangle^{\frac52}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\langle t\rangle^2\log^{-\frac12}\langle t\rangle\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big)\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z), \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle_T^{5-}\Big(\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2v^{\rm h}_t(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t\Pi^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\Big)dt'\lesssim\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z). \tag{3.64}$$

We also have

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^4 v^{\rm h}(\cdot,\cdot,z)\|_{L^1_t(L^2_{\rm h})}\leq \mathcal{C}_0 h(z)\quad \textit{and} \tag{3.65}$$

$$\|\varrho^h(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^4_{\rm h}}+\langle t\rangle^{\frac32}\|\rho_t(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z). \tag{3.66}$$

Here and in what follows, we always denote $\varrho^{\rm h}\overset{\rm def}{=}\rho^h-1$ *and* $h(z)$ *to be a generic positive function which belongs to* $L^2_{\rm v}\cap L^\infty_{\rm v}$.

Proof. (3.63) and (3.64) follows directly from Theorem 3.1 and (3.53). In order to prove (3.65), we get by applying (3.63) and Theorem 3.14 of [5] that

$$\|\varrho(t)\|_{L^\infty_t(H^3_{\rm h})}\lesssim \|\varrho_0\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}\exp\Bigl(C\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{H^2_{\rm h}}dt'\Bigr)\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z). \tag{3.67}$$

Whereas we deduce from the momentum equation of (2.2) and the classical estimates on Stokes operator that

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^4 v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\Pi^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\lesssim \|\nabla_{\rm h}^2(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t)(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}},$$

which together with (3.63) and (3.67) ensures that

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^4 v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\Pi^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\bigl(h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac52}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr). \tag{3.68}$$

Note that for any ϵ in $]0,1[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L_{\rm h}^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}}\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L_{\rm h}^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}}}\\ &\lesssim \frac1\epsilon\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\epsilon}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{1-\epsilon}\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\epsilon}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{1-\epsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.63), (3.67) and using a similar derivation of (3.62) gives

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0 h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac52}\log\langle t\rangle.$$

Resuming the above estimate into (3.68) and using (3.64), we infer (3.65).

With (3.63) and (3.65), we deduce from Theorem 3.14 of [5] that

$$\|\varrho^h\|_{L^\infty_t(H^4_{\rm h})}\leq \|\varrho_0\|_{H^4_{\rm h}}\exp\Bigl(C\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}dt'\Bigr)\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z). \tag{3.69}$$

Then by taking one more horizontal derivatives to (3.50) and using (3.63) and (3.69), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\partial_t\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}&\leq \|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^4\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+3\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\ &\quad+3\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.46) and (3.69) ensures (3.66). This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

4. DECAY ESTIMATES OF $\partial_z v^{\rm h}$

In this section, we consider the family of solutions of (2.2), which depends smoothly on a real parameter denoted by z. We skip the proof of the fact that, if the initial data depends smoothly on the parameter z, so does the solution. We concentrate on decay estimates for the family $\partial_z v^{\rm h}$ which we denote by $v^{\rm h}_z$ and also $\partial_z\rho^{\rm h}$ by $\rho^{\rm h}_z$. The purpose of this section is to prove that, under suitable hypothesis on the derivative of the family of initial data, $v^{\rm h}_z$ has the same decay property as $v^{\rm h}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, One has*

$$\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^3_{\mathrm{h}}}+\langle t\rangle^{\frac32}\|\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z). \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, v_z^{h} shares the same decay estimates of Inequalities (3.63) *and* (3.64) *of Theorem 3.2.*

In view of Inequality(2.2), the quantity $(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}},v_z^{\mathrm{h}},\Pi_z^{\mathrm{h}})\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}(\partial_z\rho^{\mathrm{h}},\partial_z v^{\mathrm{h}},\partial_z\Pi^{\mathrm{h}})$ satisfies the system in $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\text{(D1INS2D)}\quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}+v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}=-v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}},\\ \rho^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}+\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}-\Delta v_z^{\mathrm{h}}+\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\Pi_z^{\mathrm{h}}=f_1+L(t)v_z^{\mathrm{h}},\\ \mathrm{div}_{\mathrm{h}}\,v_z^{\mathrm{h}}=0,\\ (\rho_z,v_z^{\mathrm{h}})|_{t=0}=(\eta\partial_z\varsigma_0,\partial_z v_0^{\mathrm{h}}).\end{array}\right.\quad\text{with}$$

$$f_1=-\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}-\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\quad\text{and}\quad L(t)w\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}-\rho^{\mathrm{h}}w\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}.$$

Let us make some preliminary remarks about this system. First of all, we have that

$$\|L(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_{\mathrm{h}})}\leq 2\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{4.2}$$

Thus thanks to (3.63), $\|L(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_{\mathrm{h}})}$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^+$. According to the remark at the beginning of Section 3.1, we introduce

$$\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\exp\Bigl(-\int_0^t\|L(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt'\Bigr).$$

Then the energy estimate (3.7) gives

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq(f_1|\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}.$$

The external force f_1 contains term with ρ_z. We want of course global estimate. But the control of L^p norm of ρ_z^{h} demands the control of v_z^{h} in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^\infty)$ which will be proved at the end. Thus we argue with a continuation argument. More precisely, all the inequalities that follows are valid for t less than $T_1^\star$ defined by

$$T_1^\star\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}\sup\bigl\{t\,/\,\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty([0,t];L^2_{\mathrm{h}}\cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}})}\leq 1\bigr\}. \tag{4.3}$$

The first step of the study is the proof that v_z^{h} has the same decay property as v^{h} for the L^2_{h} norm. Then we apply energy estimates of Section 3.1 to get the decay of higher order derivatives. The decay of v_z^{h} in L^2_{h} norm is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, for $t\leq T_1^\star$, we have*

$$\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\ \leq\ \mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}\quad\textit{and} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\ \leq\ \mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. By the definition of f_1 given by (D1INS2D), and using Inequality (3.63) of Theorem 3.2, we get, for any t less than or equal to $T_1^\star$,

$$\|f_1(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}. \tag{4.6}$$

Then it follows from Inequality (3.8) that for any nonnegative t_0 and any time t greater than or equal to t_0

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2_{\mathrm{h}})}+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\,dt' &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t_0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+2\Big(\int_{t_0}^t\|f_1(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\Big)^2\\
&\leq \|\sqrt{\rho_0}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t_0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-2}. \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the bound of $\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}$. In order to derive the decay of the L^2_{h} norm of v_z^{h}, we use Lemma 3.3 for $T=1$, which gives that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2}+g^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2}\leq 2g^2(t)\|\widetilde{v}_{z,\flat}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+(f_1(t)|\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t))_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}},
$$

which yields

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\frac{7}{8}g^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq 2g^2(t)\|\widetilde{v}_{z,\flat}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+2g^{-2}(t)\Big\|\frac{f_1}{\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}}(t)\Big\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}. \qquad (4.8)
$$

Then the main point is the estimate of $\|\widetilde{v}_{z,\flat}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}$. We want to prove that, if we have that $g(\tau)\sim\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$ and $g(\tau)\leq\alpha\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$ for α in $]1,3[$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{v}_{z,\flat}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}&\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}+\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-3}\Big(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\Big)^2\\
&\quad+2\|\varrho_0\|^2_{L^\infty}2\pi\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-2}\bigg(\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\bigg)^2. \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove this inequality, let us differentiate Identity (3.22) with respect to the parameter z and then multiplying the resulting inequality by $\exp\Big(-\displaystyle\int_0^t\|L(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt'\Big)$. This gives

$$
|\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})|\leq e^{-t|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2}\big|\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\partial_z(\rho_0v_0^{\mathrm{h}})\big)(\xi_{\mathrm{h}})\big|+\big|\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\varrho^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}})(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})\big|+\sum_{\ell=1}^5|V_\ell(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})|, \qquad (4.10)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_1(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})&\overset{\text{def}}{=}\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}}),\\
V_2(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})&\overset{\text{def}}{=}\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2}|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}\big(\varrho^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)(t',\xi_{\mathrm{h}})\,dt',\\
V_3(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})&\overset{\text{def}}{=}\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2}|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})(t',\xi_{\mathrm{h}})\,dt',\\
V_4(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})&\overset{\text{def}}{=}\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2}\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\operatorname{div}(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}})\big)(t',\xi_{\mathrm{h}})\,dt'\quad\text{and}\\
V_5(t,\xi_{\mathrm{h}})&\overset{\text{def}}{=}\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|^2}\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\operatorname{div}(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}(\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}}+v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes\widetilde{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}))\big)(t',\xi_{\mathrm{h}})\,dt'.
\end{aligned}
$$

During all the estimates of the above terms, we shall use that the Leray projection operator on the divergence free vector fields $\mathbb{P}$ decreases the pointwise values of the Fourier transform. Following the lines for proving Inequality (3.24), we use that

$$
\forall z\in\mathbb{R}\,,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho_0v_0^{\mathrm{h}}(x_{\mathrm{h}},z)dx_{\mathrm{h}}=0\quad\text{and thus}\quad\forall z\in\mathbb{R}\,,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\partial_z(\rho_0v_0^{\mathrm{h}})(x_{\mathrm{h}},z)dx_{\mathrm{h}}=0.
$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t|\xi_{\rm h}|^2}\big|\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\partial_z(\rho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\big)(\xi_{\rm h})\big| &\leq |\xi_{\rm h}|\,\|D_{\xi_{\rm h}}\mathcal{F}(\partial_z(\rho_0 v_0^{\rm h}))\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\\ &\leq |\xi_{\rm h}|\,\||x_{\rm h}|\partial_z(\rho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_{\rm h})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Leibnitz formula in z, we infer that

$$\big|e^{-t|\xi_{\rm h}|^2}\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\partial_z(\rho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\big)(\xi_{\rm h})\big| \leq U_1|\xi_{\rm h}|,$$

where $U_1 \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \|v_0^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2;|x|dx)} + \|\partial_z v_0^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2;|x|dx)}$. And thus by integration on $S_1(t)$ given by Inequality (3.20), we get

$$\int_{S_1(t)}\big|\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\partial_z(\rho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\big)(\xi_{\rm h})\big|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \quad\lesssim\quad U_1^2\langle t\rangle^{-2}\,. \tag{4.11}$$

It is obvious that

$$\int_{S_1(t)}\big|\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}\big(\varrho^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}\big)(t,\xi_{\rm h})\big|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \|\varrho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2(2\pi)^2\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2. \tag{4.12}$$

Because t is less than $T_1^\star$, we get, by using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, that

$$|V_1(t,\xi_{\rm h})| \leq \|\rho_z^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^1_{\rm h}} \leq \|\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}} \leq \|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}.$$

This gives, using Inequality (3.63) of Theorem 3.2 and Inequality (3.19) about g,

$$\int_{S_1(t)}|V_1(t,\xi_{\rm h})|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}. \tag{4.13}$$

Exactly like for Inequality (3.25), we get

$$\int_{S_1(t)}|V_2(t,\xi_{\rm h})|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-3}\Big(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt'\Big)^2\cdot \tag{4.14}$$

Using that $\|\rho_z(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}$ is less than or equal to 1 and Inequality (3.63), we get

$$\big|\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}(\rho_z^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})(t,\xi_{\rm h})\big| \lesssim \|\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}} \lesssim \mathcal{C}_0 h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-1}.$$

We infer that

$$\int_{S_1(t)}|V_3(t,\xi_{\rm h})|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\int_{S_1(t)}|\xi_{\rm h}|^4\log^2\langle t\rangle d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}\log^2\langle t\rangle. \tag{4.15}$$

Again as t is less than $T_1^\star$, we get, by using again Inequality (3.63), that

$$\big|\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\mathrm{div}(\rho_z^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h})\big)(t,\xi_{\rm h})\big| \lesssim |\xi_{\rm h}|\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2 \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)|\xi_{\rm h}|\langle t\rangle^{-2}.$$

Then we infer that

$$\int_{S_1(t)}|V_4(t,\xi_{\rm h})|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\int_{S_1(t)}|\xi_{\rm h}|^2\Big(\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-2}dt'\Big)^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}\,. \tag{4.16}$$

We get, by using again Inequality (3.63), that

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\mathcal{F}\,\mathbb{P}\big(\mathrm{div}(\rho^{\rm h}(\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}+v^{\rm h}\otimes\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}))\big)(t,\xi_{\rm h})| &\lesssim |\xi_{\rm h}|\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-1}|\xi_{\rm h}|\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_{S_1(t)}|V_5(t,\xi_{\rm h})|^2 d\xi_{\rm h} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-2}\Big(\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt'\Big)^2\cdot \tag{4.17}$$

By summing up Inequalities (4.10)–(4.17), we get Inequality (4.9).

Then we infer from Inequalities (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+g^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq \mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}\\ &\qquad+\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-4}\Bigl(\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt'\Bigr)^2+\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-3}\Bigl(\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt'\Bigr)^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

Let $G(\tau)$ be given by (3.28). The above formula writes after integration

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2G(t)\quad\leq\quad&\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-3}G(t')dt'\\ &+\mathcal{C}_0\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-4}G(t')\Bigl(\int_0^{t'}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t'')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt''\Bigr)^2dt'\\ &+\mathcal{C}_0\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-3}G(t')\Bigl(\int_0^{t'}\langle t''\rangle^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t'')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt''\Bigr)^2dt'.\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

Taking $g^2(\tau)=\alpha\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$, for α in $]1,2[$, gives $G(\tau)=\langle\tau\rangle^{\alpha}$. Then we get, by applying (4.7), that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\langle t\rangle^{\alpha}\leq\|\sqrt{\rho}_0v_z^{\rm h}(0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{\alpha-1},$$

which immediately implies

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-1}.$$

And thus we have

$$\int_0^{t'}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t'')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt''\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t'\rangle^{\frac12}\quad\text{and}\quad\int_0^{t'}\langle t''\rangle^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t'')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}dt''\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z).$$

Then taking $g^2(\tau)=\alpha\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}$, for α in $]2,3[$, in (4.19) gives rise to

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\langle t\rangle^{\alpha}\leq\|\sqrt{\rho}_0v_z^{\rm h}(0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{\alpha-2},$$

which leads to (4.4).

On the other hand, for any $t_0\geq0$ and any time $t\geq t_0$, we get, by applying Lemma 3.1 and using (4.6), that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\Bigl(\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{\Pi}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\Bigr)dt'\\ \leq C\|\nabla\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-3},\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

and

$$t\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq C\|\widetilde{v}_z^{\rm h}(t/2)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0th^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}.\tag{4.21}$$

Hence by virtue of (4.4) and (4.20), (4.21), we achieve (4.5). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Proposition 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, for* $t\leq T_1^\star$, *we have*

$$\|\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}\quad\textit{and}\tag{4.22}$$

$$\|v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}.\tag{4.23}$$

Proof. In order to study the decay of the second space derivatives of v_z^{h}, we need to use Lemma 3.5, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\frac{3}{4}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\leq(\partial_t f_1|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}-\big((\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})_t|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\qquad\qquad+CF_{1,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\mathrm{h}}(t))\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+CF_{2,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\mathrm{h}}(t),v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t))\\
&\qquad\qquad+C\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\frac12}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\frac12}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\frac12}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

- The estimate of $(\partial_t f_1|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}$.

  Note that

$$\partial_t f_1=-\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}-\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}-\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}-\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}-\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}.$$

By virtue of the transport equation of (2.2), we get, by using integration by parts, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}&=-\big(\partial_z\,\mathrm{div}_{\mathrm{h}}(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&=\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\otimes v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for t less than or equal to $T_1^\star$, applying Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\big(\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\\
&\quad+\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.16) and Young's inequality ensures

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\big(\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+C_\varepsilon\Big((\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2)\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\\
&\quad+(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}})\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the induction hypothesis we get, for any positive $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L_{\mathrm{h}}^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}}\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L_{\mathrm{h}}^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}}}\\
&\leq\frac{C}{\epsilon}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{1-\epsilon}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\epsilon}\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{1-\epsilon}\langle t\rangle^{-\epsilon}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\epsilon}\frac{\langle t\rangle^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hölder inequality implies that for any couple (ϵ,ε) of positive real numbers, we have

$$\big|(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+C_\varepsilon\Big(\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\frac{\langle t\rangle^{2\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2}\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\Big).$$

Taking $2\epsilon=\log^{-1}\langle t\rangle$ in the above inequality gives rise to

$$\big|(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+C\Big(\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\log^2\langle t\rangle\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\Big).\tag{4.25}$$

Using again the transport equation of (2.2) and integration by parts, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}&=\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\quad+\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\cdot(v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}})\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\otimes(v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}})v^{\mathrm{h}}|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy observe that because of induction hypothesis (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|+\big|\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\cdot(v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}})\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\\
&\quad\lesssim\Big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\Big)\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big(\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\otimes(v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}})v^{\mathrm{h}}|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\\
&\qquad\lesssim\big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by applying Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)+C\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^3_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\quad+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\leq C\big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big).\end{aligned}$$

This together with Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1 ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}\big|(\partial_t f_1|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq 3\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\qquad+\mathcal{C}_0\Big(\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}+\log^2\langle t\rangle\|\partial_t^2 v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

- The estimate of $\big((\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})_t|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}$.

It is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\rho_t^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\|\rho_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\leq C\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\|\rho_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\big|\big(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\leq\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|&\leq\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\\
&\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we infer from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1 that

$$\big|\big((\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})_t|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\left(\frac{13}{2}\right)}.\tag{4.27}$$

- The closure of the energy estimate

Applying Young's inequality ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}&C\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\\ &\qquad\leq \varepsilon\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+C\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+C\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

Resuming the Estimates (4.26-4.28) into (4.24) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2&\leq \mathcal{C}_0\bigl(\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}+F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t))\bigr)\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\\ &\quad+CF_{2,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t),v_z^{\rm h}(t))+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}+C\log^2\langle t\rangle\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

Applying (3.31), (3.32) for $u=v^{\rm h}$, $v=v_z^{\rm h}$ and $f(t)=f_1(t)$ and using (4.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_0}^t F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t'))dt' &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\Bigl(\|v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^4+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2/\mathcal{T}\Bigr)\quad\text{and}\\ \int_{t_0}^t F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t),v_z^{\rm h}(t'))dt' &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\bigl(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-3}\bigr)\\ &\qquad\times\Bigl(\bigl(1+\|v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2}\bigr)\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{T}\Bigr),\end{aligned}$$

from which, Theorem 3.2, and taking $\mathcal{T}=\|\nabla v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}$ in the above inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_0}^t F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t'))dt' &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\quad\text{and}\\ \int_{t_0}^t F_{2,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t),v_z^{\rm h}(t'))dt' &\leq \mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-3}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

Thanks to (4.30), we get, by applying Gronwall's Lemma to (4.29), that for any nonnegative t_0 and any t greater than or equal to t_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'&\leq \mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle^{-6}dt'\\ &\quad+C\int_{t_0}^t\log^2\langle t'\rangle\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-3}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

On the other hand, by multiplying Inequality (4.29) by $t-t_0$ and then applying Gronwall's Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(t-t_0)\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2&\leq\int_{t_0}^t\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)(t-t_0)\langle t_0\rangle^{-3}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\\ &\quad+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\int_{t_0}^t(t'-t_0)\langle t'\rangle^{-6}dt'+C\int_{t_0}^t\log^2\langle t'\rangle(t'-t_0)\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'.\end{aligned}$$

Taking t_0 equal to $t/2$ in the above inequality and using again (4.20), we obtain

$$t\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq\mathcal{C}_0\Bigl(h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}+\langle t\rangle^{-4-}\int_{t/2}^t\langle t'\rangle^{5-}\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'\Bigr),$$

from which, (3.64) and (4.31), we conclude

$$\|\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}.\tag{4.32}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\lesssim{}&\|\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}^2\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\&+\|f_1(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}},\end{aligned}$$

from which, (4.6) and (3.63), we deduce

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0 h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}.$$

Together with (4.32), this proves (4.22).

Finally (4.23) follows from the interpolation Inequality (3.38), (4.4) and (4.22). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 4.1. *The time* $T_1^\star$ *given by Relation* (4.3) *equals* $+\infty$, *and there hold*

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}\cap L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\quad\textit{and}\quad\|\partial_t\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}\cap L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}.\tag{4.33}$$

Proof. It follows from the transport equation of (D1INS2D), (3.66) and (4.23) that

$$\|\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}}\leq\|\partial_z\rho_0(\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h})}\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta.$$

Therefore as long as η is sufficiently small, we have

$$\|\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})\cap L^\infty}\leq\frac12\quad\text{for}\quad t\leq T_1^\star.$$

This in turn shows that $T_1^\star$ given by Relation (4.3) equals $+\infty$. Moreover, there hold (4.4), (4.5) and (4.22) and (4.23) for any $t<\infty$. Then we deduce from Lemma 3.2 and (D1INS2D) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}&\leq C\big\|\big(\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}+\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}+\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}+\partial_z(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big)\big\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\\&\leq C\Big(\|\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\\&\qquad+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\big)+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

from which (3.16), (3.63) and Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we infer

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\big(h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac94}+h^{\frac12}(z)\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\big),$$

so that in view of (4.5) and (4.31), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}\leq{}&C\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2}^{\frac13}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^4}^{\frac23}dt'\\\leq{}&\mathcal{C}_0\Big(h(z)+h^{\frac23}(z)\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{-\left(\frac76\right)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2}^{\frac13}\,dt'\Big)\leq\mathcal{C}_0 h(z).\end{aligned}\tag{4.34}$$

While by taking $\nabla_{\rm h}$ to the transport equation of (D1INS2D), one has

$$\partial_t\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}+v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}=-\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}-\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}-v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{h},\tag{4.35}$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}}\leq{}&\Big(\|\partial_z\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_0(z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h})}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h})}\\&+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h})}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h})}\Big)\exp\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h})}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.65) and (4.23) ensures the first inequality of (4.33).

Finally it follows from the transport equation of (D1INS2D), (3.63) and (4.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}} &\leq \|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h}}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Corollary. □

Proposition 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, one has*

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\int_t^\infty\bigl(\|\partial_t^2 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t\Pi_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\bigr)dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-5},\tag{4.36}$$

$$\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{5-}\bigl(\|\partial_t^2 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t\Pi_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\bigr)dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z),\tag{4.37}$$

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3 v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\Pi_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac52}\log\langle t\rangle.\tag{4.38}$$

Proof. In view of (D1INS2D), $\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}$ is a solution of (LINS2D) with external force $\widetilde{f}_1(t)$ given by

$$\widetilde{f}_1(t)=-(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})_t\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}-(\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h})_t-(\rho_z^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})_t-(\rho^{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})_t,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{f}_1(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}} \leq\ & \|\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigl(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr)\\ &+\|\rho_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigl(\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr)\\ &+\|\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigl(\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr)\\ &+\|\partial_t\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigl(\|v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Then from the estimates in the previous sections, we deduce that for any nonnegative t_0 and any time $t\geq t_0$

$$\int_{t_0}^t\|\widetilde{f}_1(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-6}+\int_{t_0}^t\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-5},$$

where we used (3.52) in the last step. Then applying Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\int_{t_0}^\infty\bigl(\|\partial_t^2v_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t\Pi_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\bigr)dt'&\\ \lesssim\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-5},&\end{aligned}\tag{4.39}$$

and

$$t\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\lesssim\|\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}(t/2)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+t\int_{t/2}^\infty\|\widetilde{f}_1(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-4}.$$

This yields

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-5}.$$

Taking t_0 equal to t in Inequality (4.39) and resuming the above estimate into the resulting inequality leads to Estimate (4.36). With this inequality and (3.64), we conclude the proof of (4.37) by applying (3.13) for $s=5_-$ and $v=\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}$.

In order to prove (4.38), we get, by differentiate (D1INS2D), that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\rm h}\partial_jv_z^{\rm h}-\partial_j\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_z^{\rm h}=&-\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}\partial_tv_z^{\rm h})-\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h})\\ &-\partial_j(\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h})-\partial_j(\rho_z^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})-\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\Pi_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\lesssim\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&\quad+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big)\\
&\quad+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\big(\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big)+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&\quad+\|\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2 v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

from which and the previous decay estimates, we infer

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3 v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\Pi_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\lesssim\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\mathcal{C}_0 h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac52}.$$

Yet along the same line to the proof of (3.62) and using (3.66), (4.33), we have

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0 h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac52}\log\langle t\rangle.$$

This proves Inequality (4.38) and finishes the proof of Proposition 4.3. □

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By summing up Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we conclude that $v_z^{\rm h}$ shares the same decay estimates of Inequalities (3.63) and (3.64). It remains to prove (4.1). Indeed by virtue of (3.65), Theorem 3.14 of [5] and the transport equation of (D1INS2D), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}\leq &C\Big(\|\partial_z\rho_0\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}+\int_0^t\big(\|{\rm v}_z^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}(t')\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^h(t')\|_{H^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^\infty}\big)dt'\Big)\exp\Big(C\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^h\|_{L^1_t(H^2_{\rm h})}\Big)\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.40}$$

Then by taking one more horizontal derivative to (4.35) and using (4.40), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_t\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\ \leq\ &\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+2\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\\
&+2\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\rho^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
\leq\ &\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with Inequality (4.33) and (4.40) proves Estimate (4.1). □

5. DECAY ESTIMATES OF $\partial_{zz}v^{\rm h}$

Similar to Section 4, let us denote $\partial_z^2 v^{\rm h}$ by $v_{zz}^{\rm h}$ and also $\partial_z^2\rho^{\rm h}$ by $\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}$ and $\partial_z^2\Pi^{\rm h}$ by $\Pi_{zz}^{\rm h}$. Then $(\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}, v_{zz}^{\rm h}, \Pi_{zz}^{\rm h})$ solves the system in $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\text{(D2INS2D)}\quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_t\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}+v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}=-2v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\rho_z^{\rm h}-v_{zz}^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h},\\
\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\rm h}+\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v_{zz}^{\rm h}-\Delta_{\rm h}v_{zz}^{\rm h}+\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi_{zz}=f_2+L(t)v_{zz}^{\rm h},\\
{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,v_{zz}^{\rm h}=0,\\
(\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}, v_{zz}^{\rm h})|_{t=0}=(\eta\partial_z^2\varsigma_0, \partial_z^2 v_0^{\rm h}).
\end{array}\right.\quad\text{with}$$

$$f_2=-\rho_z^{\rm h}\partial_t v_z^{\rm h}-\partial_z(\rho_z^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h})-\partial_z(\rho_z^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})-\rho_z^{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}-\rho^{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}$$

and the linear operator $L(t)$ is given by (D1INS2D).

In this section, we basically follow the same line as that in Section 4 to derive the required decay estimates for the second derivatives of the family of solutions to (2.2) with respect to the parameter z. The main result can be listed as follows:

Theorem 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $v_{zz}^{\rm h}$ shares the same decay estimates of Inequalities* (3.63) *and* (3.64). *Moreover, there holds*

$$\|\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{H^2_{\rm h}}+\langle t\rangle^{\frac32}\|\partial_t\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{H^1_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z). \tag{5.1}$$

Again due to (4.2), as in Section 4, we introduce

$$\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\overset{\rm def}{=}v_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\exp\Bigl(-\int_0^t\|L(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt'\Bigr).$$

Then the energy estimate (3.7) gives

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq(f_2|\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h})_{L^2_{\rm h}}.$$

The external force f_2 contains term with $\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}$. The global estimate $\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}$ demands the control of $v_{zz}^{\rm h}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^\infty)$ which will be achieved hereafter. Thus we again argue with a continuation argument. More precisely, all the inequalities that follows are valid for t less than $T_2^\star$ defined by

$$T_2^\star\overset{\rm def}{=}\sup\bigl\{t\,/\,\|\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty([0,t];L^2_{\rm h}\cap L^\infty_{\rm h})}\leq1\bigr\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Next we decompose the proof of Theorem 5.1 into the following propositions:

Proposition 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, for $t\leq T_2^\star$, we have*

$$\|v_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2},\quad\textit{and} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3}. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Note that for f_2 given by (D2INS2D), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_2(t)\|_{L^2}\ \leq\ &\|\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigl(2\|\partial_tv_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\&+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr)+\|\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigl(\|v_t^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr),\end{aligned}$$

from which, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, we infer for $t\leq T_2^\star$

$$\|f_2(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-2}. \tag{5.5}$$

Then we deduce from (3.8) that for any nonnegative t_0 and any time $t\geq t_0$

$$\frac12\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2_{\rm h})}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2dt'\lesssim\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-2}. \tag{5.6}$$

This gives the estimate of $\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}$. In order to the derive the decay of the $L^2_{\rm h}$ norm of $v_{zz}^{\rm h}$, we use Lemma 3.3 for $T=1$ to get

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\frac78g^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\rm h}\widetilde{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq2g^2(t)\|\widetilde{v}_{zz,\flat}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+2g^{-2}(t)\Bigl\|\frac{f_2}{\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}}(t)\Bigr\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2. \tag{5.7}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, it amounts to derive the estimate of $\|\widetilde{v}_{zz,\flat}^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}$, which we present as follows. We first get, by differentiating (3.22) twice with respect to the parameter z, that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{v}_{zz}^{\rm h}(t,\xi_{\rm h})\ =\ &e^{-t|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}\partial_z^2(\rho_0v_0^{\rm h})(\xi_{\rm h})-\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}\partial_z^2(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})(t,\xi_{\rm h})\\&+\int_0^te^{-(t-t')|\xi_{\rm h}|^2}\mathcal{F}\mathbb{P}\Bigl(\Delta\partial_z^2(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})-\operatorname{div}\partial_z^2(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h})\Bigr)(t',\xi_{\rm h})dt',\end{aligned}$$

so that along the same line to the proof of (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz,\flat}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 \leq 2\|\varrho_0\|_{L^\infty}2\pi\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 + C\Big(\int_{S_1(t)}\big|\mathcal{F}\partial_z^2(\rho_0 v_0^{\mathrm{h}})\big|^2\,d\xi_{\mathrm{h}} \\
+ \int_{S_1(t)}\big(\big|\mathcal{F}(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}} v_z^{\mathrm{h}})\big|^2 + \big|\mathcal{F}(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}})\big|^2\big)\,d\xi_{\mathrm{h}} \\
+ g^6(t)\Big(\int_0^t \|\partial_z^2(\varrho^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}})(t')\|_{L^1_{\mathrm{h}}}\,dt'\Big)^2 + g^4(t)\Big(\int_0^t \|\partial_z^2\big(\rho^{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)(t')\|_{L^1_{\mathrm{h}}} dt'\Big)^2\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the estimate of (3.24), one has

$$
\int_{S_1(t)}\big|\mathcal{F}\partial_z^2(\rho_0 v_0^{\mathrm{h}})\big|^2\,d\xi_{\mathrm{h}} \leq C g^4(t)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|x||\partial_z^2(\rho_0 v_0^{\mathrm{h}})|\,dx\Big)^2.
$$

It follows from (3.63) and Theorem 4.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S_1(t)}\big(\big|\mathcal{F}(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}} v_z^{\mathrm{h}})\big|^2 + \big|\mathcal{F}(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}})\big|^2\big)\,d\xi_{\mathrm{h}} \\
&\qquad \leq C g^2(t)\big(\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 + \|\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\big) \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z) g^2(t)\langle t\rangle^{-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\partial_z^2(\varrho^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}})(t')\|_{L^1_{\mathrm{h}}} dt' \quad &\leq \quad \int_0^t \big(\|\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} + 2\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} + \|\varrho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big) dt' \\
&\leq \quad \mathcal{C}_0\Big(h(z)\ln\langle t\rangle + \int_0^t \|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\,dt'\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|\partial_z^2\big(\rho^{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)(t')\|_{L^1_{\mathrm{h}}} dt' \quad &\leq \quad C\int_0^t \Big(\|\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}} + \|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} + \|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\big)\Big) dt' \\
&\leq \quad \mathcal{C}_0\Big(h^2(z) + \int_0^t \langle t'\rangle^{-1}\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\,dt'\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz,\flat}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 \leq 2\|\varrho_0\|_{L^\infty}2\pi\|\sqrt{\rho}\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 \\
&\qquad + \mathcal{C}_0 g^4(t)\Big(h^2(z) + g^2(t)\Big(\int_0^t \|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} dt'\Big)^2 + \Big(\int_0^t \langle t'\rangle^{-1}\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} dt'\Big)^2\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Resuming the above estimate into (5.7) and using (5.5), we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 + g^2(t)\|\sqrt{\rho}^{\mathrm{h}}\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 \leq \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-3} \\
&\qquad + \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-4}\Big(\int_0^t \|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} dt'\Big)^2 + \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-3}\Big(\int_0^t \langle t'\rangle^{-1}\|\widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} dt'\Big)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is exactly the same as the Inequality (4.18). Hence by using (5.6) and a similar derivation of (4.4), we achieve (5.3).

On the other hand, it follows from (3.12) and (5.5) that for any nonnegative t_0 and any time $t\geq t_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\int_{t_0}^t\bigl(\|\partial_t\widetilde{v}^{\rm h}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}^{\rm h}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{\Pi}^{\rm h}_{zz}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\bigr)dt'&\\ \leq \|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}(t_0)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\int_{t_0}^t\langle t'\rangle^{-4}dt'.&\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

It follows from (3.14) that

$$\frac{t}{2}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\widetilde{v}^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\lesssim\|\widetilde{v}^{\rm h}_{zz}(t/2)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)t\int_{t/2}^t\langle t'\rangle^{-4}dt',$$

so that thanks to (5.3) and (5.8), we obtain (5.4). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Proposition 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, for $t\leq T_2^\star$, one has*

$$\|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-4},\tag{5.9}$$

$$\|v^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\quad\textit{and}\quad\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z).\tag{5.10}$$

Proof. We use again Lemma 3.5 to the System (D2INS2D) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\frac34\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\leq(\partial_tf_2|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz})_{L^2_{\rm h}}-\bigl(\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\bigr)_{L^2_{\rm h}}&\\ +CF_{1,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t))\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+CF_{2,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\rm h}(t),v^{\rm h}_{zz}(t))&\\ +C\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\rm h}}v^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac12}.&\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

We first deal with the estimate of $(\partial_tf_2|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz})_{L^2}$. It is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_tf_2=-\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t)-2\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}_z\partial_tv^{\rm h}_z)-\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})&\\ -2\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}_zv^{\rm h}_z\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})-\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z)-\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}_zv^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z).&\end{aligned}$$

By using the transport equation of (2.2) and integration by parts, we have

$$(\partial_t\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t|\,\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz})_{L^2_{\rm h}}=\bigl(\partial_z^2(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\bigr)_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\bigl(v^{\rm h}_t|\partial_z^2(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\bigr)_{L^2_{\rm h}},$$

from which and the known decay estimates, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\bigl|(\partial_t\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz})_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr|\;&\leq\;\|\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\bigl(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr)\\ &\quad+\bigl(\|\rho^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}+\|\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\bigr)\bigl(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr)\\ &\leq\;\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\frac12\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from a similar derivation of (4.25) that

$$\bigl|(\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz})_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr|\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\frac12\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+C\log^2\langle t\rangle\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}.$$

This gives

$$\bigl|\bigl(\partial_t(\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t)|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\bigr)_{L^2_{\rm h}}\bigr|\leq\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_tv^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+C\log^2\langle t\rangle\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.$$

Similarly, thanks to (4.33) and (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| &\leq \|\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} + \big|(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t^2 v_z^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\\ &\leq \varepsilon\|\nabla\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2 + \langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2\\ &\qquad\qquad +C\log\langle t\rangle^2\|\partial_t^2 v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} + \mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{11}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with $\big(\partial_t(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}$, we use the transport equation of (2.2) and integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\partial_t\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}} &= \big(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\quad+\big(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big((v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}+(v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}}):(\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\otimes\nabla_{\mathrm{h}})v^{\mathrm{h}}\big)|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\quad-\big((2v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}+v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}})v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}},\end{aligned}$$

from which, (4.33) and the previous decay estimates, we deduce that for $t\leq T_2^\star$

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| &\leq C\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\Big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\\ &\quad+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}^2 v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\Big)\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}+v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\\ &\qquad\leq\|\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\qquad\leq\frac{1}{2}\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\big|\big(\partial_t(\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big|\leq\langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.$$

Using again the previous decay estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| &\leq \Big(\|\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\qquad\qquad+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\Big)\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\leq \langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t(\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| &\leq \Big(\|\partial_t\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\qquad\qquad+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\Big)\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\leq \langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}^2+\mathcal{C}_0 h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| &\leq \Big(\|\rho_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\qquad+\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\Big)\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\leq \langle t\rangle^{-2}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big(\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\big)_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| &\leq \Big(\|\rho_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\Big)\\ &\qquad\times\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty}\|v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\leq \varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-6}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

Hence we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}\big|(\partial_t f_2|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}})_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big| \leq&3\varepsilon\|\nabla\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &+C\log^2\langle t\rangle(\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\partial_t^2v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}})+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{11}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

Substituting the Estimates (5.12), (5.13) and a similar version of (4.28) into (5.11) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq C\big(\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}+F_{1,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\mathrm{h}}(t))\big)\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &+CF_{2,\mathcal{T}}(v^{\mathrm{h}}(t),v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t))+\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{11}{2}}+C\log^2\langle t\rangle\big(\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\partial_t^2v_z^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

which is exactly the same as the Inequality (4.29). With this estimate, we only need to repeat the estimate of (4.22) to show (5.9). And the first inequality of (5.10) follows from (3.38), (5.3) and (5.9).

In order to prove the second inequality of (5.10), we get, by applying Lemma 3.2 to (D2INS2D), that

$$\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq C\left(\|\sqrt{\rho^{\mathrm{h}}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{L^8_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|f_2(t)\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\right).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_2(t)\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}} &\leq \|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\mathrm{v}_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &\quad+\|\rho_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\partial_t v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+2\|v_z^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^h\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v_z^h\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)\\ &\qquad+\|\rho_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\big(\|\mathrm{v}_t^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with the obtained decay estimates implies

$$\|f_2(t)\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}}.$$

Hence thanks to the 2-D interpolation inequality: $\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^8_{\mathrm{h}}}\lesssim\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^{\frac{1}{4}}_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|^{\frac{3}{4}}_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}$, ensures that

$$\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^4_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\big(h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}}+h^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v_{zz}^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big).$$

Then repeating the proof of (4.34) leads to the second inequality of (5.10). The proof of the proposition is complete. □

Corollary 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $T_2^\star$ given by Relation* (5.2) *is infinite and there holds* (5.1).

Proof. Indeed it follows from Theorem 3.1.4 of [5] and the transport equation of (D2INS2D) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^\infty_t(H^2_{\mathrm{h}})} \quad\leq\quad & C\Big(\|\partial_z^2\rho_0\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\int_0^t\big(\|v^h_z(t')\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t')\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t')\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t')\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|v^h_{zz}(t')\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t')\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)dt'\Big)\exp\Big(C\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^1_t(H^2_{\mathrm{h}})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.66) and (4.1) ensures that

$$\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}\cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq C\|\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^\infty_t(H^2_{\mathrm{h}})}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\leq\frac{1}{2}\quad\text{for}\quad t\leq T^\star_2,\tag{5.15}$$

provided that η is small enough. This in turn shows that $T^\star_2$ given by Relation (5.2) is infinite. Moreover, it follows from the transport equation of (D2INS2D) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+2\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}&\\ +\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}.&\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by taking one more horizontal derivative to the transport equation of (D2INS2D), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\quad\leq\quad&\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &+2\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+2\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_z(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ &+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}+2\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{h}}}\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}\rho^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\\ \leq\quad&\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.15) ensures (5.1). □

Finally let us turn to the decay estimates of the third derivatives.

Proposition 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, one has*

$$\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\quad\leq\quad\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-5},\tag{5.16}$$

$$\int_t^\infty\big(\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_tv^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t\Pi^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)dt'\quad\leq\quad\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-5},\tag{5.17}$$

$$\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{5-}\big(\|\partial_t^2v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_tv^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\partial_t\Pi^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}\big)dt'\quad\leq\quad\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z),\tag{5.18}$$

$$\|\nabla^3_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{h}}}+\|\nabla^2_{\mathrm{h}}\Pi^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}(t)\|_{L^2}\quad\leq\quad\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}}\log\langle t\rangle.\tag{5.19}$$

Proof. By virtue of (D2INS2D), $\partial_tv^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}$ is a solution of (LINS2D) with external force $\widetilde{f}_2(t)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{f}_2(t)\quad=\quad&-\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_t\partial_tv^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}-(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})_t\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}-2\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_z\partial_tv^{\mathrm{h}}_z)-\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}v^{\mathrm{h}}_t)\\ &-\partial_t\partial_z(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_zv^{\mathrm{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})-\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}_zv^{\mathrm{h}}_z\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})-\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_z\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_z)-\partial_t(\rho^{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_{zz}\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}),\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{f}_2(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}} \leq\ & \|\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)+\|\rho^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty}\Big(\|\partial_t^2 v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+2\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+2\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\Big)+\|\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty}\Big(\|\partial_t^2 v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\Big)+\|\rho^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big(\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)\\
&+\|\partial_t\rho^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big(\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+2\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)\\
&+\|\partial_t\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Then according to the estimates in the previous sections, we deduce that

$$\|\widetilde{f}(t')\|^2_{L^2}\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t\rangle^{-7}+C\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}(t')\|^2_{L^2_{\rm h}}+C\|\partial_t^2v^{\rm h}_z(t')\|^2_{L^2_{\rm h}},$$

which together with (3.52) and (4.36) ensures that for any nonnegative t_0 and any time $t\geq t_0$

$$\int_{t_0}^t\|\widetilde{f}_2(t')\|^2_{L^2}dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z)\langle t_0\rangle^{-5}. \tag{5.20}$$

With (5.20), we repeat the proofs of (4.36) and (4.37) to get (5.16 - 5.18). We omit the details here.

Finally in order to prove (5.19), we get, by differentiate the momentum equation of (D2INS2D) with respect to x_j, that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\rm h}\partial_j v^{\rm h}_{zz}-\partial_j\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}_{zz}&=\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_{zz})+\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz})+2\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}_z\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z)+\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t)\\
&+\partial_j\partial_z(\rho^{\rm h}_z v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})+\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}_z v^{\rm h}_z\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})+\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z)+\partial_j(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^3_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}&\lesssim\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}_z\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty}\Big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+2\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|^2_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)+\|\rho^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\\
&+3\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+2\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)\\
&+\|\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|^2_{L^4_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)\\
&+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\Big)\\
&+2\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4_{\rm h}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}},\end{aligned}$$

from which and the previous decay estimates and (5.1), we infer

$$\|\nabla^3_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla^2_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\lesssim\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h}_{zz}\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}_z\partial_t v^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\rho^{\rm h}_{zz}v^{\rm h}_t\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\mathcal{C}_0h(z)\langle t\rangle^{-\frac52}.$$

Then using (3.66), (4.1) and (5.1), we deduce (5.19) by repeating the proof of (3.62). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

By summing up Propositions 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, and Corollary 5.1, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. Estimates of $v^{\rm h}$ in terms of anisotropic Besov norms

By summing up Theorems 3.2, 4.1 and 5.1, we conclude the following theorem concerning the decay estimates of solutions to (2.2).

Theorem 6.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, System* (2.2) *has a unique global solution* $(\rho^{\rm h}, v^{\rm h}, \nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h})$ *so that there hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell=0}^{2}\Big(&\langle t\rangle\|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\langle t\rangle^{\frac32}\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\big)\\&+\langle t\rangle^2\big(\|\partial_z^\ell v_t^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell\Pi^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big)\\&+\langle t\rangle^{\frac52}\log^{-1}\langle t\rangle\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell\Pi^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}\big)\\&+\langle t\rangle^{\frac52}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v_t^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}+\langle t\rangle^2\log^{-\frac12}\langle t\rangle\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}}\Big)\leq\mathcal{C}_0h(z),\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{2}\int_0^t\langle t'\rangle^{5-}\big(\|\partial_t^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v_t^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell\partial_t\Pi^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^2\big)dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0h^2(z).\tag{6.2}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\varrho^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^4_{\rm h}}+\|\rho_z^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}+\|\rho_{zz}^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^2_{\rm h}}\\&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{\frac32}\big(\|\rho_t^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^3_{\rm h}}+\|\partial_z\rho_t^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^2_{\rm h}}+\|\partial_z^2\rho_t^{\rm h}(t,\cdot,z)\|_{H^1_{\rm h}}\big)\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta h(z).\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Now we shall transform the above decay estimates of $v^{\rm h}$ to the L^1 or L^2 in time estimate of the Besov norms to $v^{\rm h}$. For the convenience of the readers, we recall the following anisotropic Bernstein type lemma from [13, 25]:

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $\mathcal{B}_{\rm h}$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{B}_{\rm v}$*) a ball of* $\mathbb{R}^2_{\rm h}$ *(resp.* $\mathbb{R}_{\rm v}$*), and* $\mathcal{C}_{\rm h}$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{C}_{\rm v}$*) a ring of* $\mathbb{R}^2_{\rm h}$ *(resp.* $\mathbb{R}_{\rm v}$*); let* $1\leq p_2\leq p_1\leq\infty$ *and* $1\leq q_2\leq q_1\leq\infty$*. Then there holds:*
If the support of $\widehat{a}$ *is included in* $2^k\mathcal{B}_{\rm h}$*, then*

$$\|\partial_{\rm h}^\alpha a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim2^{k\left(|\alpha|+2\left(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\right)}\|a\|_{L^{p_2}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}.$$

If the support of $\widehat{a}$ *is included in* $2^\ell\mathcal{B}_{\rm v}$*, then*

$$\|\partial_z^\beta a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim2^{\ell\left(\beta+(\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1})\right)}\|a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_2}_{\rm v})}.$$

If the support of $\widehat{a}$ *is included in* $2^k\mathcal{C}_{\rm h}$*, then*

$$\|a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim2^{-kN}\sup_{|\alpha|=N}\|\partial_{\rm h}^\alpha a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}.$$

If the support of $\widehat{a}$ *is included in* $2^\ell\mathcal{C}_{\rm v}$*, then*

$$\|a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim2^{-\ell N}\|\partial_z^N a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}.$$

In view of Definition 2.1, as a corollary of Lemma 6.1, we have the following inequality, if $1\leq p_2\leq p_1$,

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p_1}^{s_1-2\left(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}\right),s_2-\left(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)}}\lesssim\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p_2}^{s_1,s_2}}. \tag{6.4}$$

To consider the product of a distribution in the isotropic Soblev space with a distribution in the anisotropic Besov space, we need the following interpolation inequalities:

Lemma 6.2. *We have the following interpolation inequality for the* L^∞ *norm.*

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\|f\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}. \tag{6.5}$$

Moreover, let $1<q\leq p\leq\infty$, $-2/q+2/p<s_1<1-\bigl(2/q-2/p\bigr)$ *and* s_2 *in* $]0,1[$, *one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1,s_2}}\leq C_{p,q}&\|f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}^{\left(1-s_1-2\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)(1-s_2)}\|\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}^{\left(1-s_1-2\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)s_2}\\&\times\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}^{\left(s_1+2\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)(1-s_2)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}^{\left(s_1+2\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)s_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. In order to prove the first inequality, let us write according to Lemma 6.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^\infty}&\leq\sum_{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^\infty}\\&\lesssim2^{\frac{K}{2}}\sum_{\substack{k<K\\\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}}2^{k\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p}\right)}2^{\frac{\ell}{p}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p}+2^{-K}\sum_{\substack{k\geq K\\\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}}2^{k\frac{2}{p}}2^{\frac{\ell}{p}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^p}\\&\lesssim2^{\frac{K}{2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}+2^{-K}\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}.\end{aligned}$$

The appropriate choice of K ensures (6.5). Let us prove the second one. According Definition 2.1, we have

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1,s_2}}=\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}^2}2^{ks_1}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p}.$$

For any integers K,L_1, which will be chosen late, we get, by applying Lemma 6.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\leq K,\ell\leq L_1}2^{ks_1}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p}&\lesssim\sum_{k\leq K,\ell\leq L_1}2^{k\left(s_1+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{p}\right)}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\\&\lesssim2^{K\left(s_1+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{p}\right)}2^{L_1s_2}\|f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})},\end{aligned}$$

by using the fact that s_1 is greater than $-2/q+2/p$ and s_2 is positive.

Similarly since s_1 is greater than $-2/q+2/p$ and s_2 is less than 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\leq K,\ell>L_1}2^{ks_1}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p}&\lesssim\sum_{k\leq K,\ell>L_1}2^{k\left(s_1+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{p}\right)}2^{-\ell(1-s_2)}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\\&\lesssim2^{K\left(s_1+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{p}\right)}2^{-L_1(1-s_2)}\|\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}.\end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, since s_1 is less than $1-\big(2/q-2/p\big)$ and s_2 is in $]0,1[$, for some integer L_2 to be chosen hereafter, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k>K,\ell\leq L_2}2^{ks_1}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{k>K,\ell\leq L_2}2^{-k\big(1-s_1-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{p}\big)}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-K\big(1-s_1-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{p}\big)}2^{L_2s_2}\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k>K,\ell>L_2}2^{ks_1}2^{\ell s_2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{k>K,\ell>L_2}2^{-k\big(1-s_1-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{p}\big)}2^{-\ell(1-s_2)}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-K\big(1-s_1-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{p}\big)}2^{-L_2(1-s_2)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_p} \lesssim\ & 2^{K\big(s_1+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{p}\big)}2^{L_1s_2}\big(\|f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}+2^{-L_1}\|\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\big)\\ &+2^{-K\big(1-s_1-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{p}\big)}2^{L_2s_2}\big(\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}+2^{-L_2}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Taking L_1, L_2 in the above inequality so that

$$2^{L_1}\sim\frac{\|\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}}{\|f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}}\quad\text{and}\quad 2^{L_2}\sim\frac{\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}}{\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_p} \lesssim\ & 2^{K\big(s_1+\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{p}\big)}\|f\|^{1-s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\|\partial_zf\|^{s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\\ &+2^{-K\big(1-s_1-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{p}\big)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|^{1-s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|^{s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking K in the above inequality so that

$$2^K\sim\frac{\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|^{1-s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_zf\|^{s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}}{\|f\|^{1-s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}\|\partial_zf\|^{s_2}_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^q_{\rm h})}}$$

gives rise to (6.6). This finishes the proof of Lemma 6.2. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let p be in $]2,\infty[$, s_1 and s_2 in $]0,1[$ and s' in $]2/p-1,2/p[$. Let $(\rho^{\rm h},v^{\rm h},\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h})$ be the global unique solution of* (2.2)*. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have*

$$\|\varrho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2\cap\mathcal{B}^{2+s_1,s_2}_2)}+\|\rho^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2\cap\mathcal{B}^{1+s_1,s_2}_2)}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\|\rho^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2\cap\mathcal{B}^{1+s_1,s_2}_2}+\|\partial_z\rho^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\|v^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2}+\|\partial_zv^{\rm h}_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\big(2+\frac{s_1}{2}\big)}, \tag{6.9}$$

$$\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_p}+\|\partial_zv^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_p}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\big(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{s_1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\big)}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1+s_1,s_2}_p}+\|\partial_zv^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1+s_1,s_2}_p}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\big(2+\frac{s_1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\big)}\log^{\big(1-\frac{2}{p}\big)s_1}\langle t\rangle\quad\textit{and} \tag{6.11}$$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^1\Big(\|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{2+s',s_2}_p}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell\Pi^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s',s_2}_p}\Big)\leq\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\big(\frac{5}{2}+\frac{s'}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\big)}\log^{\big(1+s'-\frac{2}{p}\big)}\langle t\rangle. \tag{6.12}$$

Proof. The Inequalities (6.7-6.9) follow directly from Lemma 6.2 and from Inequalities (6.3) and (6.1). Whereas note that in two space dimension, there holds

$$\forall p\in]2,\infty[\,,\ \|f\|_{L^p_{\rm h}}\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}f\|_{L^2_{\rm h}}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}. \tag{6.13}$$

Then in view of (6.1), we infer for ℓ in $\{0,1,2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}&\lesssim \|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)},\\ \|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\left(2-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\quad\text{and}\\ \|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\frac{2}{p}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\left(\frac{5}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\log^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\langle t\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 6.2, we infer for ℓ in $\{0,1\}$ and s_1 ans s_2 in $]0,1[$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_p}&\leq \|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}^{(1-s_1)(1-s_2)}\|\partial_z^{\ell+1}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}^{(1-s_1)s_2}\\ &\qquad\times\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}^{s_1(1-s_2)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^{\ell+1}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}^{s_1s_2}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)(1-s_1)}\times\langle t\rangle^{-\left(2-\frac{1}{p}\right)s_1}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (6.10). A similar argument yields (6.11).

Since s' is in $]2/p-1,2/p[$, by applying Lemma 6.2 and (6.1), we get for ℓ in $\{0,1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s',s_2}_p}&\leq \|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\left(\frac{2}{p}-s'\right)(1-s_2)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2\partial_z^{\ell+1}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\left(\frac{2}{p}-s'\right)s_2}\\ &\qquad\times\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\left(1+s'-\frac{2}{p}\right)(1-s_2)}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^3\partial_z^{\ell+1}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p_{\rm v}(L^2_{\rm h})}^{\left(1+s'-\frac{2}{p}\right)s_2}\\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\left(\frac{5}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(s'-\frac{2}{p}\right)\right)}\log^{\left(1+s'-\frac{2}{p}\right)}\langle t\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell\Pi^{\rm h}$. This leads to (6.12), and the proof of the lemma is complete. □

Remark 6.1. *It is easy to observe that* $a^{\rm h}$ *satisfies the same estimate as* (6.7), *that is*

$$\|a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2\cap\mathcal{B}^{2+s_1,s_2}_2)}+\|\partial_z a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{s_1,s_2}_2\cap\mathcal{B}^{1+s_1,s_2}_2)}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta, \tag{6.14}$$

for any s_1 *and* s_2 *in* $]0,1[$.

Let us now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. It follows from Lemma 6.3 and interpolation inequality in Besov spaces that for ℓ in $\{0,1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4},\frac{3}{4}}_2}&\leq \mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{11}{8}},\\ \|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,\frac{1}{2}}_2}&\lesssim \|\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^\ell v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\quad\text{and}\\ \|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1,\frac{1}{2}}_2}&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}^2v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\langle t\rangle^{-2}\log^{\frac{1}{4}}\langle t\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}&+\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}+\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\\&+\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac34,\frac34})}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

It remains to handle $\|\partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}$. Indeed it is easy to observe from (2.1) that

$$\Delta_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}=-\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}\bigl(a^{\rm h}(\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^{\rm h}-\Delta_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\bigr)-\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}(v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}),\tag{6.17}$$

from which, we deduce from the law of product (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\leq C\Bigl(&\|v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1\mathbb{R}^+;(\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\\&+\|a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\bigl(\|\Pi^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\bigr)\Bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Whereas it follows from (6.14) that

$$\|a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}+\|\partial_z a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta.\tag{6.18}$$

When we take η so small that $C\mathcal{C}_0\eta\leq\frac12$, (6.18) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\leq C\Bigl(&\|a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\\&+\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\Bigr)\leq\mathcal{C}_0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.19}$$

Similar to the proof of (6.19), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\leq C\Bigl(&\|\partial_z a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\bigl(\|\Pi^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\bigr)\\&+\|a^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}+\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\Bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of Lemma 6.3, (6.18) and (6.19), we infer

$$\|\Pi^{\rm h}_z\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0.$$

Together with (6.16), we complete the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

7. THE EQUATION ON w_ε AND ESTIMATES OF SOME ERROR TERMS

The purpose of this section is to study the equation that determines the correction term in $u_{\varepsilon,\rm app}$. Let us recall it.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\partial_t w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon-\Delta_\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon=-\nabla_{\rm h}\Pi^1_\varepsilon\\ \partial_t w^3_\varepsilon-\Delta_\varepsilon w^3_\varepsilon=-\varepsilon^2\partial_z\Pi^1_\varepsilon+\partial_z\Pi^{\rm h}_L\\ \operatorname{div}w_\varepsilon=0\quad\text{and}\quad w_{\varepsilon|t=0}=0.\end{array}\right.\quad\text{with}\quad\Pi^{\rm h}_L\overset{\rm def}{=}-\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}\partial_t\bigl(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\bigr).\tag{7.1}$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $(w_\varepsilon,\Pi^1_\varepsilon)$ be the unique solution of the above system, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon,w^3_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}&+\|\nabla_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon,w^3_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})}+\|\nabla_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon,w^3_\varepsilon)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\\&\leq C\|\varrho_0 v^{\rm h}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{-1,\frac32}}+C\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac32})\cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac32})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h}, w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})} \leq C\|\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12}} + C\|\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}.$$

Moreover for any positive α less than 1, we have

$$\big\|\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h}, w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,\frac12})} + \big\|\varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon^1\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,\frac12})} \leq C_\alpha\big\|\partial_t(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac32})}.$$

Proof. Let us first compute Π_ε^1. Applying the divergence operator to the System (7.1) gives

$$-\Delta_\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon^1 + \partial_z^2 \Pi_L^{\rm h} = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

This together with (7.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} w_\varepsilon^{\rm h} &= \int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta_\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rm h}\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_z^2\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,\partial_t\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t')dt' \quad \text{and}\\ w_\varepsilon^3 &= -\int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta_\varepsilon}\partial_z\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,\partial_t\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t')dt'. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

By integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_\varepsilon^{\rm h} &= \nabla_{\rm h}\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_z^2\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t) - e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rm h}\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_z^2\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}(\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\\ &\qquad + \int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta_\varepsilon}\nabla_{\rm h}\partial_z^2\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t')dt' \quad \text{and}\\ w_\varepsilon^3 &= -\partial_z\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t) + e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}\partial_z\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}(\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\\ &\qquad - \int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta_\varepsilon}\partial_z\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t')dt'. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Written in term of Fourier transform with the notation $\xi = (\xi_{\rm h}, \zeta)$ and using the fact that ${\rm div}_{\rm h}\, v^{\rm h} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\big(\varepsilon\widehat{w}_\varepsilon^{\rm h}(t,\xi), \widehat{w}_\varepsilon^3(t,\xi)\big)\big| &\leq |\xi_{\rm h}|^{-1}\big|\mathcal{F}\big(\partial_z(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})\big)(t,\xi)\big|\\ &\quad + e^{-t(|\xi_{\rm h}^2+\varepsilon^2\zeta^2)}|\xi_{\rm h}|^{-1}\big|\mathcal{F}\big(\partial_z(\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\big)(\xi)\big|\\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')(|\xi_{\rm h}^2+\varepsilon^2\zeta^2)}(\varepsilon|\zeta| + |\xi_{\rm h}|)|\zeta|\,\big|\mathcal{F}\big(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big)(t',\xi)\big|\,dt'\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Applying the cutoff operator in the frequency space in the horizontal and the vertical directions gives, for any r in $[1,2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{k\frac2r+\frac\ell2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h}, w_\varepsilon^3)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C2^{k(\frac2r-1)+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})(t)\|_{L^2} + \mathcal{W}_{k,\ell}(t) \quad \text{with}\\ \mathcal{W}_{k,\ell}(t) &\overset{\rm def}{=} e^{-ct(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell})}2^{k(\frac2r-1)+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^2}\\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell})}(2^k+\varepsilon 2^\ell)2^{k\frac2r+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})(t')\|_{L^2}dt'. \end{aligned}$$

As r is in $[1,2]$, we get by convolution inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{W}_{k,\ell}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)} &\leq C2^{-k+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^2}\\ &\qquad + (2^k+\varepsilon 2^\ell)^{1-\frac2r}2^{k\frac2r+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}\\ &\leq C2^{-k+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho_0 v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^2} + 2^{k+\frac{3\ell}2}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h} v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By summation with respect to the indices k and ℓ, we get thanks to the Minkowski inequality,

$$\sum_{k,\ell}\|\mathcal{W}_{k,\ell}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}\leq C\|\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{-1,\frac{3}{2}}}+C\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{1,\frac{3}{2}})}.$$

By definition of the $\mathcal{B}_p^{s,s'}$ norms, we infer that, for r in $[1,2]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{2}{r},\frac{1}{2}})}&\leq\sum_{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}2^{k\frac{2}{r}+\frac{\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}\\&\lesssim\|\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{-1,\frac{3}{2}}}+\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{2}{r}-1,\frac{3}{2}})\cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{3}{2}})}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.6}$$

Now let us estimate $\|\varepsilon\partial_z(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}$ and $\|\varepsilon\partial_z(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}$. As w is a divergence free vector field, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\|\partial_zw_\varepsilon^3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{;}\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}&\leq C\|\varepsilon\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,w^h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}\leq C\|\varepsilon w^h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})},\\\varepsilon\|\partial_zw_\varepsilon^3\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{;}\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}&\leq C\|\varepsilon\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,w^h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\leq C\|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{;}\mathcal{B}_2^{2,\frac{1}{2}})}.\end{aligned}$$

Then Inequality (7.6) applied with r equal to 2 and r equal to 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\|\partial_zw_\varepsilon^3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{;}\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}&+\|\varepsilon\partial_zw_\varepsilon^3\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{;}\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\\&\leq C\|\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{-1,\frac{3}{2}}}+C\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{3}{2}})\cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{3}{2}})}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.7}$$

Now let us estimate $\|\varepsilon^2\partial_zw_\varepsilon^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}$. From Equality (7.4), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})(t)\|_{L^2}+e^{-ct(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^22^{2\ell})}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^2}\\&\quad+\int_0^te^{-c(t-t')(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^22^{2\ell})}\big(\varepsilon2^\ell+2^k\big)\varepsilon2^\ell\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})(t')\|_{L^2}dt'.\end{aligned}\tag{7.8}$$

Taking the L^1 norm in time gives

$$2^{k+\frac{3\ell}{2}}\varepsilon\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}\lesssim2^{-k+\frac{3\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^2}+2^{k+\frac{3\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}.$$

By summation with respect to the indices k and ℓ, we infer that

$$\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{3}{2}})}\lesssim\|\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{-1,\frac{3}{2}}}+\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{3}{2}})}.$$

Together with Inequalities (7.6) and (7.7), this gives the first inequality of the proposition.

To prove the second inequality, we get, by taking the L^4 norm in time of (7.8), that

$$2^{\frac{k}{2}}2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\varepsilon\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}\lesssim2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h})\|_{L^2}+2^{\frac{k}{2}}2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}.$$

Summing up the above inequality with respect to the indices k and ℓ yields

$$\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}})}\leq C\|\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}+C\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

This proves the second inequality of the proposition.

Let us prove the third inequality. Using (7.3), we can write that

$$\big|\mathcal{F}\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon\widehat{w}_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)(t,\xi)\big|\leq C\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')(|\xi_{\rm h}|^2+\varepsilon^2\zeta^2)}(\varepsilon|\zeta|+|\xi_{\rm h}|)|\zeta|\big|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}))(t',\xi)\big|dt'.$$

Then applying the cut off operators in both horizontal and vertical frequencies gives

$$\begin{aligned}2^{k\alpha+\frac{\ell}{2}}&\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon\widehat{w}_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)(t)\|_{L^2}\\&\leq\int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell})}(\varepsilon2^\ell+2^k)2^{k\alpha+\frac{3\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_t(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})(t')\|_{L^2}dt'.\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we get

$$2^{k\alpha+\frac{\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}\leq C2^{k(-1+\alpha)+\frac{3\ell}{2}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_t(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2)}.$$

By summation the above inequality with respect to the indices k and ℓ, we get

$$\|\Delta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\alpha,\frac12})}\leq C_\alpha\big\|\partial_t(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac32})}. \tag{7.9}$$

In order to get the estimates on the pressure term Π_ε^1, let us observe that Relation (7.2) implies that

$$\varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon\Pi_\varepsilon^1=\begin{pmatrix}-\,\varepsilon\nabla_{\rm h}\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_z\,{\rm div}_h\,\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\partial_z\partial_t\big(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big)\\ \varepsilon^2\Delta_\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_z^2\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,\Delta_{\rm h}^{-1}\partial_z\partial_t\big(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big)\end{pmatrix}$$

As we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon|\zeta|}{|\xi_{\rm h}|^2+\varepsilon^2\zeta^2}\leq\frac{1}{|\xi_{\rm h}|}\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{\varepsilon^2|\zeta|^2}{|\xi_{\rm h}|^2+\varepsilon^2\zeta^2}\leq1$$

we infer that, for any α in $]0,1[$,

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla_\varepsilon\Pi_\varepsilon^1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\alpha,\frac12})}\leq\big\|\partial_z\partial_t\big(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big)\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac12})}.$$

Then the proposition is proved. □

Let us now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. It follows from the law of product (2.6) and Lemma 6.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varrho_0v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12}}&\lesssim\|\varrho_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12}}\|v_0^{\rm h}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12}}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta,\\ \|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}&\lesssim\|\varrho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac34,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac34,\frac12})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac32})}\leq\|\varrho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}&\|\partial_zv^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\\&+\|\partial_z\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we deduce from law of product (2.6) and (6.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac32})}\leq\|\varrho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}&\|\partial_zv^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\\&+\|\partial_z\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of the first two inequalities of Proposition 7.1 and the remark following (1.7), we conclude the first inequality of Proposition 2.2.

On the other hand, for any α in $]0,1[$, we get, by applying the law of product (2.6), that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z(\partial_t\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac12})} \lesssim{}& \|\partial_t\partial_z\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})}\\ &+\|\partial_t\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})}\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z(\varrho^{\rm h}\partial_t v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac12})} \lesssim{}& \|\partial_z\rho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})}\|\partial_t v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})}\\ &+\|\varrho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})}\|\partial_z\partial_t v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2},\frac12})},\end{aligned}$$

so that by applying Lemma 6.3, we obtain

$$\big\|\partial_t\big(\varrho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac32})} \leq \|\partial_z(\rho_t^{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac12})} + \|\partial_z(\varrho^{\rm h}v_t^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{-1+\alpha,\frac12})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0.$$

This together the third inequality of Proposition 7.1 leads to the second inequality of Proposition 2.2. □

Corollary 7.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, there holds* (2.12).

Proof. We first deduce from the law of product (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})} &\lesssim \|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\|(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})},\\ \|\varepsilon^2 w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})} &\lesssim \|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_\varepsilon(\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h},w_\varepsilon^3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla(v^{\rm h},0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})} \lesssim{}& \|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\\ &+\varepsilon\|w_\varepsilon^3\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^{\frac43}(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.7) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z\Pi_Q^{\rm h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})} &\lesssim \|\partial_z(\rho^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12})}\\ &\lesssim \|\rho_z^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}\\ &\quad+\big(1+\|\varrho^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\big)\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12})}\|\partial_z v^{\rm h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of (2.11), Proposition 2.2, and Lemma 6.3, we conclude the proof of (2.12). □

8. THE CONTROL OF THE TERM b_ε

The purpose of this section is the control of the term b_ε which satisfies Equation (2.17) as described by Proposition 2.4. Namely we want to decompose the solution b_ε of Equation (2.17) which is

$$\partial_t b_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla b_\varepsilon = -R_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla[a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon \quad\text{with}\quad b_{\varepsilon|t=0}=0 \tag{8.1}$$

as $b_\varepsilon = \overline{b}_\varepsilon + \widetilde{b}_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\|\overline{b}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta(1+\mathcal{R}_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac12} \quad\text{and}\quad \|\widetilde{b}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\varepsilon^{1-\frac1p}(1+\mathcal{R}_0)^2\langle t\rangle.$$

In order to do it, let us introduce the following decomposition of $b_\varepsilon = b_{1,\varepsilon} + b_{2,\varepsilon} + b_{3,\varepsilon}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t b_{1,\varepsilon} + u_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla b_{1,\varepsilon} &= -\,\varepsilon R^3_\varepsilon[\partial_z a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\,,\\ \partial_t b_{2,\varepsilon} + [v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h} b_{2,\varepsilon} &= -\,R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}[a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon \quad\text{and}\\ \partial_t b_{3,\varepsilon} + u_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla b_{3,\varepsilon} &= -\,\varepsilon\big((\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon) + R_\varepsilon\big)\cdot\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

Let us first estimate $\|b_{1,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p}$. As the vector field u_ε is divergence free, we have

$$\|b_{1,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\frac1p}\int_0^t \|R^3_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_z a^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^p}dt'.$$

Interpolation inequality (6.5) of Lemma 6.2 and the induction hypothesis (2.13) implies that, for any t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|R(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt' &\leq \int_0^t \|R(t')\|^{\frac23}_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p}}\|\nabla_{\rm h}R(t')\|^{\frac13}_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\\ &\leq t^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^t \|R(t')\|^4_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\Big)^{\frac14\times\frac23}\Big(\int_0^t \|\nabla_{\rm h}R(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\Big)^{\frac13}\\ &\leq \mathcal{R}_0 t^{\frac12}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

Then using the Estimate (6.3), we infer that, for any t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$,

$$\|b_{1,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\eta\varepsilon^{1-\frac1p}t^{\frac12}\mathcal{R}_0. \tag{8.4}$$

In order to estimate $\|b_{3,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p}$, we need to estimate $\|\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p}$. Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon} + [v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon} = {}&-\nabla R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}[a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon - R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\nabla[a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\\ &-\nabla[v^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}b_{2,\varepsilon} - \varepsilon[\nabla_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\nabla_\varepsilon a^{\rm h}]_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $v^{\rm h}$ is divergence free, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac1p}\Big(&\big(\|\nabla R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\big)\|\nabla a^{\rm h}\|_{L^p}\\ &+\big(\|R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\big)\|\nabla^2 a^{\rm h}\|_{L^p}\Big) + \|\nabla v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla_{\rm h}b_{2,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

Estimate (6.3) together with Sobolev embedding implies that

$$\forall t<\overline{T}_\varepsilon\,,\ \|\nabla a^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \eta\,\mathcal{C}_0 \quad\text{and}\quad \|\nabla^2 a^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}\leq \eta\,\mathcal{C}_0. \tag{8.6}$$

Induction hypothesis (2.13) and Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$\int_0^{\overline{T}_\varepsilon}\big(\|\nabla R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty}\big)dt \lesssim \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{C}_0.$$

Together with (8.6) this implies that

$$\int_0^{\overline{T}_\varepsilon}\big(\|\nabla R^{\rm h}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varepsilon\nabla_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty}\big)\|\nabla a^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^p}dt \lesssim \eta\,\mathcal{C}_0(1+\mathcal{R}_0). \tag{8.7}$$

Proposition 2.2 yields that

$$\int_0^t \|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt' \lesssim t^{\frac12}\|(\varepsilon w^{\rm h}_\varepsilon, w^3_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}^{1,\frac12})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 t^{\frac12}. \tag{8.8}$$

Proposition 2.1 claims in particular that $\|\nabla v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ is an integrable function on R^+ the integral of which is less than some $\mathcal{C}_0$. Applying Gronwall's Lemma to (8.5) and using the Estimates (8.3), (8.7) and (8.8), we get for any t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$,

$$\|\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \mathcal{C}_0\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}\bigl(1+\mathcal{R}_0\bigr)\langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now let us consider the equation on $b_{3,\varepsilon}$ in (8.2). As u_ε is divergence free, we get, by applying again the Estimates (8.3) and (8.8), that

$$\begin{aligned}\|b_{3,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq & \varepsilon\int_0^t \bigl(\|R_\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty}+\|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon^{\rm h}(t')\|_{L^\infty}+\|w_\varepsilon^3(t')\|_{L^\infty}\bigr)\|\nabla b_{2,\varepsilon}(t')\|_{L^p}dt'\\ \leq & \mathcal{C}_0\varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\bigl(1+\mathcal{R}_0\bigr)^2\langle t\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

Defining $\widetilde{b}_\varepsilon = b_{1,\varepsilon}+b_{3,\varepsilon}$ ensures the Inequality (2.19) of Proposition 2.4. As there no power of ε in the right hand side of the equation on $b_{2,\varepsilon}$ we must use another norm to measure the size of $b_{2,\varepsilon}$ The fact that the convection vector field involved in the equation of $b_{2,\varepsilon}$ has no vertical component will allow us to propagate the anisotropic regularity thanks to the following lemma.

Proposition 8.1. *Given a smooth vector field* $v^{\rm h}$ *with* ${\rm div}_{\rm h}\, v^{\rm h}=0$, *we consider the following transport equation with a parameter* z

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \partial_t b(t,x_{\rm h},z)+v^{\rm h}(t,x_{\rm h},z)\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}b(t,x_{\rm h},z) &= g(t,x_{\rm h},z),\\ b(0,x_{\rm h},z) &= b_0(x_{\rm h},z).\end{aligned}\right. \tag{8.10}$$

Let p *be in* $]2,4[$. *Let us define*

$$\mathcal{V}_p(t) \overset{\rm def}{=} \sup_{z'\in\mathbb{R}}\int_0^t \|\nabla_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t',\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p}})_{\rm h}}\,dt'.$$

Then for s *in* $]0,2/p]$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\bigl(-C\mathcal{V}_p(t)\bigr)\|b\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac{1}{p}})} \leq{}& C\|b_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac{1}{p}}}+C\|g\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{s,\frac{1}{p}})}\\ &+C\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\bigl(\|\nabla_{\rm h}b_0\|_{L^\infty_{\rm v}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\rm h}}+\|\nabla_{\rm h}g\|_{L^\infty_{\rm v}(L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\rm h})}\bigr).\end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

Proof. Let us first observe that Theorem 3.14 of [5] implies that for any σ in $\bigl[0,1+2/p\bigr]$, we have, for any z in $\mathbb{R}$

$$\|b(t,\cdot,z)\|_{(\mathcal{B}^\sigma_p)_{\rm h}} \leq \Bigl(\|b_0(\cdot,z)\|_{(\mathcal{B}^\sigma_p)_{\rm h}}+\int_0^t\|g(t',\cdot,z)\|_{(\mathcal{B}^\sigma_p)_{\rm h}}dt'\Bigr)\exp\bigl(C\mathcal{V}_p(t)\bigr). \tag{8.12}$$

Let us define $(\tau_{-z}b)(x_{\rm h},z') \overset{\rm def}{=} b(x_{\rm h},z'+z)$ and $\delta_{-z}a \overset{\rm def}{=} \tau_{-z}a-a$. Then in view of (8.10), one has

$$\partial_t\tau_{-z}b+\tau_{-z}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\tau_{-z}b=\tau_{-z}g.$$

Subtracting (8.10) from the above equation, we get

$$\partial_t\delta_{-z}b+v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\delta_{-z}b+\delta_{-z}v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\tau_{-z}b=\delta_{-z}g. \tag{8.13}$$

Applying again Theorem 3.14 of [5], we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\bigl(-C\mathcal{V}_p(t)\bigr)\|\delta_{-z}b(t,\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\rm h}} \leq{}& \|\delta_{-z}b_0(\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\rm h}}\\ &+C\int_0^t\|\delta_{-z}g(t',\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\rm h}}dt'+\int_0^t\bigl\|\delta_{-z}v^{\rm h}(t',\cdot,z')\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}\tau_{-z}b(t')\bigr\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\rm h}}dt'.\end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

The law of product in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which claims that for s in $[0,2/p]$, $\|ab\|_{\mathcal{B}^s_p}\leq C\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p}\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}^s_p}$, together with Inequality (8.12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t,z,z') &\overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \bigl\|\delta_{-z}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t',\cdot,z')\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\tau_{-z}b(t',\cdot,z')\bigr\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\\
&\leq \sup_{\substack{t'\in[0,t]\\ z'\in\mathbb{R}}}\bigl\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}b(t',\cdot,z')\bigr\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}\int_0^t\bigl\|\delta_{-z}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t',\cdot,z')\bigr\|_{(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\\
&\leq \Bigl(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}b_0\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}g(t',\cdot,z)\|_{(\mathcal{B}^\sigma_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\Bigr)\exp\bigl(C\mathcal{V}_p(t)\bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\int_0^t\bigl\|\delta_{-z}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t',\cdot,z')\bigr\|_{(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into Inequality (8.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\bigl(-C\mathcal{V}_p(t)\bigr)\|\delta_{-z}b(t,\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}&\leq\|\delta_{-z}b_0(\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\int_0^t\|\delta_{-z}g(t',\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\\
+\Bigl(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}b_0\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}&+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}g(t',\cdot,z)\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\Bigr)\int_0^t\|\delta_{-z}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t',\cdot,z')\|_{(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking L^p norm of the above inequality with respect to the vertical variable z' yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\bigl(-C\mathcal{V}_p(t)\bigr)\|\delta_{-z}b(t)\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}&\leq\|\delta_{-z}b_0\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}+C\int_0^t\|\delta_{-z}g(t')\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\\
+C\Bigl(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}b_0\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}&+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}g(t',\cdot,z)\|_{(\mathcal{B}^s_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'\Bigr)\int_0^t\|\delta_{-z}v^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}}}dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing the above inequality by $|z|^{\frac1p}$ and taking the L^p norm of the resulting inequality with the measure $\dfrac{dz}{|z|}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, we obtain Inequality (8.11) and thus the proposition. □

Continuation of the proof to Proposition 2.4. Let us observe that Proposition 8.1 implies that for t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b_{2,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}&\leq\mathcal{C}_0\Bigl(\|R^{\mathrm{h}}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}[a^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}+\varepsilon\|w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}\\
&+\|v^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}\bigl(\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}(R^{\mathrm{h}}_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}[a^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}})}+\varepsilon\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}(w_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}})\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}})}\bigr)\Bigr).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from the law of product (2.6), Proposition 2.2 and the the induction hypothesis (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b_{2,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}\leq&\Bigl(\|R^{\mathrm{h}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}+\|\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}+\|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}R^{\mathrm{h}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}\\
&+\|\varepsilon\nabla_{\mathrm{h}}w_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}\Bigr)\left(\|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}+\|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{1+\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}})}\right)\\
\leq&\,\mathcal{C}_0(1+\mathcal{R}_0)\langle t\rangle^{\frac12}\left(\|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{\frac2p,\frac1p}_p)}+\|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^{1+\frac2p}_p)_{\mathrm{h}})}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{8.15}$$

Yet it follows from Lemma 6.1, (6.14) and (6.3) that

$$\|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \lesssim \|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac{1}{2}})} \lesssim \|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}})} \|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \eta,$$
$$\|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{1+\frac{2}{p}})_{\mathrm{h}})} \lesssim \|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}^2_2)_{\mathrm{h}})} \lesssim \|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^\infty_t(\dot{H}^1_{\mathrm{h}}))} \|\nabla a^{\mathrm{h}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{v}}(L^\infty_t(\dot{H}^3_{\mathrm{h}}))} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \eta.$$

This implies that for all t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$

$$\|b_{2,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \eta (1+\mathcal{R}_0) \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Taking $\overline{b}_\varepsilon = b_{2,\varepsilon}$ leads to (2.18). We thus complete the proof of Proposition 2.4. □

Corollary 8.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, the inequalities of Assertion (2.20) holds namely, for p in $]3,4[$ and δ in $\big]0, 1-3/p\big[$.*

$$\|E^{4,1}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \eta (1+\mathcal{R}_0) \quad \textit{and} \quad \|E^{4,2}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}} (1+\mathcal{R}_0)^2,$$

Proof. We deduce from a similar derivation of (6.19) that

$$\|\Pi^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} \leq C\Big(\|a^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} + \|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} \Big),$$

which together with (6.11) and (6.14) ensures that

$$\|\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} + \|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \mathcal{C}_0 \langle t \rangle^{-2} \log^{\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)\frac{2}{p}} \langle t \rangle. \tag{8.16}$$

Moreover, as p belongs to $]3,4[$ and δ to $\big]0, 1-3/p\big[$, we have

$$-1+\delta+\frac{5}{p} \in \Big]0, \frac{2}{p}\Big[\quad \text{and} \quad -\delta+\frac{1}{p} \in]0,1[.$$

Then it follows from Inequality (6.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \langle t \rangle \Big(\|\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{5}{p},-\delta+\frac{1}{p}}} + \|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{5}{p},-\delta+\frac{1}{p}}} \Big) dt \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \langle t \rangle^{-\left(1+\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{2p}\right)} \log^{\delta+\frac{3}{p}} dt \leq \mathcal{C}_0. \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the the law of product (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|\bar{b}\big([\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon + [\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon\big)\big\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})} \\ &\qquad \lesssim \int_0^t \|\bar{b}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} \big(\|\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} + \|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}} \big) dt', \end{aligned}$$

from which, (2.18) and (8.16), we infer the first inequality of the Corollary. Similarly, again p belongs to $]3,4[$ and δ to $\big]0, 1-3/p\big[$, the the law of product (2.6) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|\tilde{b}\big([\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon + [\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}]_\varepsilon\big)\big\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})} \\ &\qquad \lesssim \int_0^t \|\tilde{b}(t')\|_{L^p} \big(\|\Delta_{\mathrm{h}} v^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{5}{p},-\delta+\frac{1}{p}}} + \|\nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \Pi^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{5}{p},-\delta+\frac{1}{p}}} \big) dt', \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.19) and (8.17) gives rise to the second inequality of the corollary. □

9. Conclusion of the proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us first observe that law of products implies that, if $p\in]3,4[$ and $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}$ is less than c_p, which is the case for $\|a_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}$ for $t\leq\overline{T}_\varepsilon$ thanks to Corollary 2.1 provided that η is sufficiently small, $\mathbb{P}_a$ given by Definition 2.2 maps continuously from $\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1,s_2}$ into itself for any s_1 in $]-2/p,2/p]$ and s_2 in $]-1/p,1/p]$, which reads

$$\|\,\mathbb{P}_a\,g\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1,s_2}}\lesssim\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{s_1,s_2}}. \tag{9.1}$$

Let us now fix p in $]3,4[$ and δ in $\big]0,1-3/p\big[$, which is determined by (2.15). For t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$ defined by Relation (2.13), we denote

$$\begin{aligned}g_\lambda(t)&\overset{\text{def}}{=}g(t)\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_0^t U_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}(t')dt'\Big)\quad\text{with}\quad U_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}(t)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\|u_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}(t)\|^4_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}\\&+\|u_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}+\|\partial_z v^{\text{h}}(t)\|^{\frac{4}{3}}_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}}}+\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon\partial_z w^{\text{h}},\partial_z w^3)(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Then we deduce from Equality(2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t)=\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)e^{(t-t')\Delta}\mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}\Big(a_\varepsilon\Delta R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\\-\operatorname{div}\big(u_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}\otimes R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}+R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}+\varepsilon R_\varepsilon\otimes R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\big)-E_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\Big)(t')dt'.\end{aligned}$$

So that for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X(t)}$ given by Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}\leq\Big\|\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}\big(a_\varepsilon\Delta R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\\-\operatorname{div}\big(u_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}\otimes R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}+R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u_{\varepsilon,\text{app}}+\varepsilon R_\varepsilon\otimes R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\big)-E_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}.\end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

It is easy to observe from Inequality (2.5), the law of product (2.6) and Corollary 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}(a_\varepsilon\Delta R_{\varepsilon,\lambda})\big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}&\leq C\Big(\|a_\varepsilon\Delta_{\text{h}}R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}+\|a_\varepsilon\partial_3^2R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})}\Big)\\&\leq C\|a_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\Big(\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}+\|\partial_3^2R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})}\Big)\\&\leq\mathcal{C}_0\eta\exp(C\mathcal{R}_0)\Big(\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}+\|\partial_3^2R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\delta+\frac{3}{p},-\delta})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Along the same lines, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon\otimes R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}))\big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}&\lesssim\big\|\mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}\big(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon^{\text{h}}\cdot\nabla_{\text{h}}R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}+\varepsilon R_\varepsilon^3\partial_3R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\big)\big\|_{L^1_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\\&\lesssim\varepsilon\Big(\|R_\varepsilon\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}+\|R_\varepsilon\|_{L^4_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\|\partial_3R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{p},\frac{1}{p}})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Using Inequality (2.5), we deduce from Inequalities (2.12), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.20) that

$$\big\|\mathbb{P}_{a_\varepsilon}E_\varepsilon\big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\Big(1+\big(\eta+\varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac{1}{p}}\big)\exp(C\mathcal{R}_0)\Big).$$

Now let us turn to the estimates of the last two terms in the rightanside of Inequality (9.3). We deduce again from Inequality (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\,\mathrm{div}_{\mathrm{h}}\big(u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\otimes R^{\mathrm{h}}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}+R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u^{\mathrm{h}}_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}\\
&\quad\lesssim\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\\
&\quad\lesssim\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\|u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\\
&\quad\lesssim\Big(\int_0^t\exp\Big(-2\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(\tau)dt''\Big)\|u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')\|^2_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\Big)^{\frac12}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (9.2) ensures that

$$\Big\|\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\,\mathrm{div}_{\mathrm{h}}\big(u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\otimes R^{\mathrm{h}}_{\varepsilon,\lambda}+R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u^{\mathrm{h}}_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}\lesssim\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac12}}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})}.$$

Along the same lines, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\partial_3\big(u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\otimes R^3_{\varepsilon,\lambda}+R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u^3_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(t)}\\
&\lesssim\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\|\big(\partial_3R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')+R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes\partial_3u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}\big)(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt',
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}$ given by (2.9), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes\partial_3u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\\
&\qquad\lesssim\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\Big(\varepsilon\|\partial_zv^{\mathrm{h}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p}}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p}}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\varepsilon^2\|(\varepsilon\partial_zw^{\mathrm{h}},\partial_zw^3)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{0,\frac12}}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}\Big)dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Then due to Definition (9.2) of $U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}$, Hölder inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes\partial_3u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\\
&\qquad\qquad\lesssim\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac34}}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^4_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p})}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac12}}\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same lines we get

$$\int_0^t\exp\Big(-\lambda\int_{t'}^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t'')dt''\Big)\|\partial_3R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\otimes u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-1+\frac2p,\frac1p}}dt'\lesssim\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac14}}\|\partial_3R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{L^{\frac43}_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p})}.$$

Resuming the above estimates into Inequality (9.3) and using the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X(t)}$ given by Definition 2.1, we infer that, for any λ greater than 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\Big(1+\big(\eta+\varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac1p}\big)\exp(C\mathcal{R}_0)\Big)+\Big(\mathcal{C}_0\eta\exp(C\mathcal{R}_0)+\frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac14}}\Big)\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}&\\
+C\varepsilon\Big(\|R_\varepsilon\|_{L^4_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p})}+\|R_\varepsilon\|_{L^2_t(\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p})}\Big)\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}.&
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the induction assumption (2.13) ensures that for t less than $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$ and for any λ greater than 1,

$$\Big(1-C\Big(\mathcal{C}_0\eta\exp(C\mathcal{R}_0)+\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac14}}+\varepsilon\mathcal{R}_0\Big)\Big)\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}\leq\mathcal{C}_0\Big(1+\big(\eta+\varepsilon^{1-\delta-\frac1p}\big)\exp(C\mathcal{R}_0)\Big).\tag{9.4}$$

Let us take ε,η sufficiently small and λ sufficiently large so that

$$\eta<\frac{1}{6C\mathcal{C}_0},\quad \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac14}}\leq\frac16\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{R}_0\leq\frac1C\min\Big\{-\ln\big(2\varepsilon^{1-\delta-1/p}\big),-\ln(2\eta),-\ln(6C\mathcal{C}_0\eta),1/6\varepsilon\Big\}.$$

Then we deduce from Inequality(9.4) that $\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}\leq 4\mathcal{C}_0$, from which and from Inequality (9.2), we infer

$$\|R_\varepsilon\|_{X(t)}\leq\|R_{\varepsilon,\lambda}\|_{X(t)}\exp\Big(\lambda\int_0^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')dt'\Big)\leq 4\mathcal{C}_0\exp\Big(\lambda\int_0^t U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')dt'\Big).$$

However, let us notice from Equality (2.9) and Lemma 6.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{-\frac12+\frac2p,\frac1p}}&\lesssim\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12}}+\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon w^{\mathrm{h}},w^3)(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{\frac12,\frac12}},\\ \|u_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_p^{\frac2p,\frac1p}}&\lesssim\|v^{\mathrm{h}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12}}+\varepsilon\|(\varepsilon w^{\mathrm{h}},w^3)(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{1,\frac12}},\end{aligned}$$

which together with Proposition 2.2, (6.10) and (6.15) ensures that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}U_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{app}}(t')dt'\leq\mathcal{C}_0\quad\text{and}\quad\|R_\varepsilon\|_{X(t)}\leq 4\mathcal{C}_0\exp\big(\mathcal{C}_0\lambda\big)\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}\mathcal{C}_0'.\tag{9.5}$$

We take $\mathcal{R}_0=2\mathcal{C}_0'$ and take ε,η so small that

$$\eta<\frac{1}{6C\mathcal{C}_0}\quad\text{and}\quad 2\mathcal{C}_0'\leq\frac1C\min\Big\{-\ln\big(2\varepsilon^{1-\delta-1/p}\big),-\ln(2\eta),-\ln(6C\mathcal{C}_0\eta),1/6\varepsilon\Big\}.\tag{9.6}$$

Then we deduce from Inequality (9.5) that

$$\forall t\leq\overline{T}_\varepsilon\,,\ \|R_\varepsilon\|_{X(t)}\leq\frac{\mathcal{R}_0}{2}\cdot$$

The necassary condition for blow up implies that $\overline{T}_\varepsilon$ equals to infinity. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

APPENDIX A. THE PROOF OF (2.5)

Proof of 2.5. For $j=0,1,2$, we get, by applying Lemma 2.4 of [5], that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\Delta_k^{\mathrm{h}}\Delta_\ell^{\mathrm{v}}\int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta}\partial_3^j f(t')dt'\Big\|_{L^q_T(L^p)}&\lesssim 2^{j\ell}\Big\|\int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')\left(2^{2k}+2^{2\ell}\right)}\|\Delta_k^{\mathrm{h}}\Delta_\ell^{\mathrm{v}}f(t')\|_{L^p}dt'\Big\|_{L^q_T}\\ &\lesssim\frac{2^{j\ell}}{\big(2^{2k}+2^{2\ell}\big)^{\frac1q}}\|\Delta_k^{\mathrm{h}}\Delta_\ell^{\mathrm{v}}f\|_{L^1_T(L^p)}\\ &\lesssim d_{k,\ell}\frac{2^{-k\alpha}2^{\ell(j-\beta)}}{\big(2^{2k}+2^{2\ell}\big)^{\frac1q}}\|f\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{\alpha,\beta})},\end{aligned}$$

where $(d_{k,\ell})_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ denotes a generic element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ so that $\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}^2}d_{k,\ell}=1$. This together with Definition 2.1 ensures that

$$\Big\|\int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta}\partial_3^j f(t')dt'\Big\|_{L^q_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{s,s'})}\lesssim\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}^2}d_{k,\ell}\frac{2^{k(s-\alpha)}2^{\ell(s'+j-\beta)}}{\big(2^{2k}+2^{2\ell}\big)^{\frac1q}}\|f\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}_p^{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

In the particular case when

$$\alpha \leq s, \quad \beta \leq s' + j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha + \beta = s + s' + j - \frac{2}{q},$$

we have

$$\Big\| \int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta} \partial_3^j f(t')\,dt' \Big\|_{L^q_T(\mathcal{B}^{s,s'}_p)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_T(\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,\beta}_p)}. \tag{A.1}$$

This together with the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}_p(T)}$ given by Definition 2.1 leads to Inequality (2.5). $\square$

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done when J.-Y. Chemin was visiting Morningside Center of the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, CAS and when P. Zhang was visiting J. L. Lions Laboratory of Université Pierre et Marie Curie. We appreciate the hospitality and the financial support from MCM, National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences and Université Pierre et Marie Curie. P. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 11371347, the fellowship from Chinese Academy of Sciences and innovation grant from National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Abidi, Équations de Navier-Stokes avec densité et viscosité variables dans l'espace critique, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana,* **23**, 2007, pages 537–586.

[2] H. Abidi and M. Paicu, Existence globale pour un fluide inhomogène, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, **57**, 2007, pages 883–917.

[3] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang, On the wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **204**, 2012, pages 189–230.

[4] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang, Wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity field, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, **100**, 2013, pages 166–203.

[5] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, 2010.

[6] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Annales de l'École Normale Supérieure,* **14**, 1981, pages 209-246.

[7] L. Brandolese, Space-time decay of Navier-Stokes flows invariant under rotations, *Mathematische Annalen*, **329**, 2004, pages 685-706.

[8] J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, Large, global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, slowly varying in one direction, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **362**, 2010, pages 2859-2873.

[9] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher and M. Paicu, Global regularity for some classes of large solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Annals of Mathematics*, **173**, 2011, pages 986–1012.

[10] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher and P. Zhang, Sums of large global solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Journal für reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **681**, 2013, pages 65-82.

[11] J.-Y. Chemin, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global large solutions to 3-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system with one slow variable, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **256**, 2014, 223-252.

[12] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher and E. Grenier, Fluids with anisotropic viscosity, *Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique*, **34**, 2000, pages 315-335.

[13] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **272**, 2007, pages 529–566.

[14] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the critical one component regularity for 3-D Navier-Stokes system, arXiv:1310.6442[math.AP], to appear in *Annales de l'École Normale Supérieure.*

[15] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, Remarks on the global solutions of 3-D Navier-Stokes system with one slow variable, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **40**, 2015, pages 878-896.

[16] R. Danchin, Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A.*, **133**, 2003, pages 1311–1334.

[17] R. Danchin and P. Mucha, A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **65**, 2012, pages 1458-1480.

[18] R. Danchin and P. B. Mucha, Incompressible flows with piecewise constant density, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **207**, 2013, pages 991-1023.

[19] R. Danchin and P. Zhang, Inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the half-space, with only bounded density, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **267**, 2014, pages 2371-2436.

[20] C. He and T. Miyakawa, On two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flows with rotational symmetries, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **49**, 2006, pages 163-192.

[21] J. Huang, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global well-posedness of incompressible inhomogeneous fluid systems with bounded density or non-Lipschitz velocity, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **209**, 2013, pages 631–682.

[22] I. Gallagher, D. Iftimie and F. Planchon, Asymptotics and stability for global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier (Grenoble)*, **53**, 2003, pages 1387-1424.

[23] O.-A. Ladyvzenskaja and V.-A. Solonnikov, The unique solvability of an initial-boundary value problem for viscous incompressible inhomogeneous fluids. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics, and related questions of the theory of functions, 8, *Zap. Nauvcn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, **52**, 1975, 52-109, 218-219.

[24] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models.* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **3**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.

[25] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana,* **21**, 2005, pages 179–235.

[26] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **262**, 2012, pages 3556–3584.

[27] M. Paicu, P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness of inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with bounded density, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **38**, 2013, pages 1208-1234.

[28] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, On some large global solutions to 3-D density-dependent Navier-Stokes system with slow variable: well-prepared data, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare Non Linear Analysis*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2014.03.006.

[29] M. Schonbek, Lower Bounds of Rates of Decay for Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, **4**, 1991, pages 423-449.

[30] M. Schonbek and T. Schonbek, On the boundedness and decay of moments of solutions of the Navier Stokes equations. *Advances in Differential Equations*, **5**, 2000, pages 861-898.

[31] J. Simon, Nonhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluids: existence of velocity, density, and pressure, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **21**, 1990, pages 1093–1117.

[32] M. Wiegner, Decay results for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **35**, 1987, pages 303–313.

(J.-Y. Chemin) Laboratoire J.-L. Lions, UMR 7598, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, FRANCE

E-mail address: `chemin@ann.jussieu.fr`

(P. Zhang) Academy of Mathematics & Systems Science and Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, CHINA

E-mail address: `zp@amss.ac.cn`